

GAHC010131632025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

Case No. : CRP(IO)/220/2025

KANTI DEVI AND 2 ORS.
W/O LAXMAN KOHAR, CHABUA COLLEGE ROAD, CHABUA, DIST-
DIBRUGARH, ASSAM

2: BISWAJIT GHOSH
S/O LATE AJIT GHOSH
A.T. ROAD
CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGARH
ASSAM
PIN-786184

3: SHYAMA DEVI AGARWAL
W/O LATE SURESH AGARWAL
A.T. ROAD
CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGARH
ASSAM
PIN-78618

VERSUS

CHABUA TOWN COMMITTEE AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY ITS EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE CHABUA
TOWN COMMITTEE, N.H. 37, CHABUA, DIST- DIBRUGARH, ASSAM, PIN-
786184

2.1: SMTI. AROTI ROY
W/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
CHABUA TOWN DAILY MARKET
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
MOUZA- CHABUA TOWN
DIST- DIBRUGARH

2.2:ARUN ROY
S/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
CHABUA TOWN DAILY MARKET
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
MOUZA- CHABUA TOWN
DIST- DIBRUGARH

2.3:AJIT ROY
S/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
CHABUA TOWN DAILY MARKET
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
MOUZA- CHABUA TOWN
DIST- DIBRUGARH

2.4:ASHIT ROY
S/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
CHABUA TOWN DAILY MARKET
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
MOUZA- CHABUA TOWN
DIST- DIBRUGARH

2.5:SMTI. ARPONA ROY
D/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
CHABUA TOWN DAILY MARKET
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
MOUZA- CHABUA TOWN
DIST- DIBRUGARH

3:ARUN KUMAR ROY
S/O LATE ANIL KUMAR ROY
D
WARD NO. 9
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGARH

4:RAJENDRA PRASAD SHAH
S/O LATE FIRANGI SHAH
DAILY BAZAR
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGARH

5:MD. HANIF

S/O ABDUL AHMED
DAILY BAZAR
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGARH

6:RAHAMUDDIN ANSSARI
S/O LATE BUDHALI ANSARI
DAILY BAZAR
CHABUA
P.O. AND P.S.- CHABUA
DIST- DIBRUGAR

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S CHAMARIA, MR N M DEKA,MR M M ZAMAN

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. A K GUPTA (FOR CAVEATOR), MR. C SHARMA (R-1),S K PODDAR(R-1),MR K J SAIKIA (FOR CAVEATOR)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

29.01.2026

[1] Heard Mr. S. Chamaria, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. A.K. Gupta, the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 to 6 as well as Mr. C. Sharma, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 1.

[2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners came to know in the month of January, that respondent No. 5 has already expired and, therefore, he seeks some time to take necessary steps for substituting the legal heirs of the respondent No. 5 in this civil revision petition.

[3] On the other hand, Mr. A.K. Gupta, the learned counsel

submits that the respondent No. 5 had expired way back on 13.12.2024 and in the execution proceeding, the prayer for substituting the legal heirs has already been made on 20.01.2025.

[4] I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides.

[5] Considering the submissions, the prayer for grant of some time for taking necessary steps for substituting the legal heirs of respondent No. 5 is allowed.

[6] Let this matter be listed on 20th February, 2026.

[7] Interim order passed earlier shall continue till then.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant