

GAHC010120112022



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/1784/2022
In Co. Pet./10/2015

JALANNAGAR DEVELOPMENT PVT. LTD.
(CIN-U70102AS1950PTC000944) HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
CHOTA TINGRAI TEA ESTATE, TINSUKIA, TINSUKIA, ASSAM-786125,
THROUGH ITS AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE- SHALINI JALAN
(DIRECTOR), W/O MR. MRIGENDRA JALAN, 62, BALLYGUNGE, CIRCULAR
ROAD, KOLKATA-700019

VERSUS

HDFC BANK LIMITED AND 2 ORS.
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT HDFC BANK HOUSE, SENAPATI
BAPAT MARG, LOWER PAREL WEST, MUMBAI-400013 AND AMONGST
OTHERS, HAVING ONE OF ITS OFFICES AT 11, U.N. BRAHMACHARI
STREET, KOLKATA-700017 AND CENTRAL PLAZA, 2/6, SARAT BOSE ROAD,
P.S.-BALLYGUNGE, KOLKATA-700020

2:CHOTA TINGRAI TEA ESTATE PVT. LTD.
(CIN-U01132AS1943PTC000577) HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
CHOTA TINGRAI TEA ESTATE
TINSUKIA
TINSUKIA
ASSAM-786125

3:LD. OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR
MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS
5TH FLOOR
PRITHVI PLANET
BEHIND HANUMAN MANDIR
ULUBARI
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI-781007

ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR K KHANNA, MS M DAS

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. G DUTTA,

Linked Case : **Co.Pet./10/2015**

HDFC BANK LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT
1956 AND CARRYING ON BANKING BUSINESS UNDER LICENSE GRANTED
BY RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
HDFC BANK HOUSE
SENAPATI BAPAT MARG
LOWER PAREL WEST
MUMBAI- 400013 AND AMONGST OTHERS
HAVING ONE OF ITS OFFICES AT 11
U.N. BRAHMACHARI STREET
KOLKATA- 700017 AND CENTRAL PLAZA
2/6
SARAT BOSE ROAD
P.S.- BALLYGUNGE
KOLKATA- 700020.

VERSUS

CHOTATINGRAI TEA ESTATE PRIVATE LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNER THE COMPANIES ACT
1956 AND HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT JALANAGAR
DIBRUGARH
ASSAM.

Advocate for : MR.M SHARMA
Advocate for : MS.B DAS appearing for CHOTATINGRAI TEA ESTATE PRIVATE
LIMITED

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

21.04.2026

Heard Shri M. Sharma, learned counsel for the Company-petitioner. Also heard Shri K. Khanna, learned counsel for the respondent, who is also the applicant in IA (C)/1784/2022.

The IA has been filed under Section 466 of the Companies Act, 1956 for stay of the present winding up proceedings. Amongst others, Shri Khanna, the learned counsel has informed that a recovery proceeding was initiated in the DRT, Kolkata in which his client has filed application which is slated to come up for hearing on 14.05.2026. He has also submitted that the debt has not been crystallised and therefore passing of any final orders in the present company petition would cause immense prejudice to him. He has also informed that though a winding up proceeding was filed in the Madhya Pradesh High Court in which an order was passed, certain assets are yet to be disposed of and therefore there is uncertainty on the amount that may be recovered in that proceeding.

Considering the above, more particularly of the fact that the date in the DRT, Kolkata is fixed on 14.05.2026, the present matters are adjourned and are directed to be listed on **02.06.2026**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant