

GAHC010123782022



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4214/2022

ABDUL KADER
S/O- LATE MOKRAM ALI, R/O- VILLAGE- SALMARA PART-IV, P.O.-
BONDIHANA, P.S.- FAKIRGANJ, DISTRICT- DHUBRI, ASSAM. PIN- 783349.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, HOME DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI-06.

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-07.

3:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DHUBRI
DISTRICT- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301.

4:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
FAKIRGANJ POLICE STATION
DISTRICT- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783330.

5:THE I/C
BONDIHANA POLICE OUTPOST
P.S.- FAKIRGANJ
DISTRICT- DHUBRI
ASSAM

PIN- 783349.

6:SMT. SUKURI KHATUN
D/O- ASHAN ALI
R/O- VILLAGE- DIMATOLA PART-II
P.O.- JORUARCHAR
P.S.- FAKIRGANJ
DISTRICT- DHUBRI
ASSAM. PIN- 783339

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M A ISLAM, MR J I MONDAL

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

24.02.2026

Heard Shri MA Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Shri N. Das, learned State Counsel.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the harassment meted out to him by the Police Personnel of the Bondihana Outpost under the Fakirganj Police Station in the district of Dhubri. It has been projected that without lodging any *Ejaha*, the petitioner was taken into custody on 19.04.2022 and kept in detention for 3 hours whereafter he was released. Even thereafter the harassment continued for some time for which this writ petition was instituted in the year 2022.

3. Shri Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that after filing of the writ petition, the harassment has stopped.

4. Shri N. Das, learned State Counsel has submitted that the action taken by the Police was only for maintenance of law and order.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the

opinion that though at the relevant point of time in the year 2022, there might have been some cause of action, as fairly conceded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the same has not continued thereafter. In the opinion of this Court adjudication of this matter would be a mere academic.

6. Accordingly, this Court is of the view that the writ petition is not required to be continued any further and is accordingly closed.

7. It is however made clear that in the event of arising of any fresh cause of action, there would be no bar for the petitioner to approach this Court.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant