

GAHC010123322026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3430/2026

CHAIDUL PRAMANIK
S/O.- LT. AYNAL HOQUE ALIAS AYNAL UDDIN PRAMANIK, R/O VILLAGE-
KATHALDI PART-III, P.O. AND P.S- BILASIPARA, DISTRICT-DHUBRI,
ASSAM, PIN- 783348.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS
TO BE REPRESENTED BY COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF ASSAM, REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006.

2:DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI
PIN-783301.

3:ASSISTANT SETTLEMENT OFFICER CUM CIRCLE OFFICER

REVENUE
BILASIPARA
PIN-78334

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. N H MAZARBHUIYAN, MS. L WAJEEDA,MR. M H
SAIKIA,MR R I BHUYAN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, REVENUE

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY**

ORDER

Date : --26.06.2026

Heard Sri N. H. Mazarbhuiyan, learned counsel for the petitioners. As per the facts projected, the petitioners are the successor in interest of one Late Aynal Hoque of Village Kathaldi Part-III under Bilasipara Revenue Circle in the District of Dhubri. They are in continuous possession of a plot of land and by virtue of the Assam Land Holding [Adoption of Relationship under the Assam Land and Revenue Regulation, 1886 in the Acquired Permanently Settled Estates] Act, 1974, the petitioners claim that the land came under the ownership of the grandfather of the petitioner. The petitioner has approached this Court apprehending eviction from the land in question.

Let notice be issued, returnable on 14.08.2026.

Ms. P. R. Mahanta, learned standing counsel Revenue Department accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 1. Mr. H. Sharma, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos. 2 & 3 Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon them by tomorrow.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an interim order which, however, is opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Mr. Sharma, the learned state counsel has specifically contended that on mere apprehension the petition has been filed and there are no materials to substantiate the same.

Be that as it may and in the interest of justice it is provided that till the next date fixed, status quo as on today be maintained.

Interim order passed today would however be reconsidered after receipt of the instructions by the learned counsel for the respondents.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant