

GAHC010122332014



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/293/2014

SRI PRASANNA ROY,
S/O LATE MAHENDRA ROY, R/O VILL- MAHARANI, P.O. BAGHMARA, P.S.
PATACHARKUCHI, DIST. BARPETA, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA and 6 ORS
REP. BY THE SECY., MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI.

2: THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
GOVT. OFFICE COMPLEX
NEW DELHI.

3: THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
N.E. SECTOR
SHILLONG
MEGHALAYA- 793001.

4: THE DY. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
GROUP CENTRE
AMERIGOG
GHY- 23.

5: THE DY. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
GROUP CENTRE
GANDHI NAGAR
GUJRAT.

6:ADDL. DY. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
GROUP CENTRE
AMERIGOG
GHY-23.

7:THE COMMANDANT
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
GROUP CENTRE
GANDHI NAGAR
GUJRAT.

8:MINAKSHI ROY

W/O LATE MONOJ KR. ROY
R/O VILL- MAHARANI
P.O.-BAGHMARA
DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MRM HAZARIKA, MR.M PATHAK,MR.M K BORO,MR.N K KALITA,MS.D DEKA

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I., MRS. A GAYAN,,MR.D BARUAH,C.G.C.,MR.M PHUKAN(R-1to7)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

03.02.2026

Heard Mr. N.K. Kalita, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. A. Gayan, learned CGC.

Although arguments of the learned counsel for the parties are almost over, on the prayer of Mr. Kalita, the learned counsel for the petitioner, let this matter be listed after 2 (two) weeks to obtain instructions from the writ petitioner in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case that the original writ petitioner had

unfortunately expired for whom the present petitioner was substituted.

The matter remains part heard.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant