

GAHC010119032026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3161/2026

REBA SARKAR
WIFE OF LATE JIBAN KRISHNA SARKAR, RESIDENT OF H - BLOCK,
PUBLIC HEALTH COMPLEX, P.O. HENGRABARI, GUWAHATI-36, DISTRICT-
KAMRUP METRO, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE
DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

3:THE STATE LEVEL COMMITTEE FOR COMPASSIONATE APPOINTMENT
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

4:THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH AND MEDICAL SERVICES
ASSAM
HENGRABARI
GUWAHATI-36.

5:THE DISTRICT LEVEL COMMITTEE
KAMRUP METRO
FOR COMPASSIONATE APPOINTMENT

REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN/ DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP METRO
DIST.- KAMRUP METRO
HENGRABARI
GUWAHATI-36
ASSAM

6:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF HELATH SERVICES
KAMRUP METRO
DIST.- KAMRUP METRO
HENGRABARI
GHY-36
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R A CHOUDHURY, MR. R A MAZUMDER

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, HEALTH

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

17.06.2026

Heard Mr. R. A. Mazumder learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. D. D. Barman, learned Government Advocate appears for the Respondents, Mrs. S. Sharma, learned Standing Counsel, Health.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved that the directions contained in the order dated 05.04.2018 passed in WP(C) No. 7949/2016 has not been complied with.

3. By order dated 05.04.2018, passed in WP(C) No. 7949/2016, the following directions has been issued:

“4. Considering the limited prayer involved in the present writ petition and as consented to by the parties, this writ petition is

disposed of with a direction to the newly impleaded respondent No. 5 to place the case of the petitioner before the State Level Committee in its next immediate seating, if not already placed.

5. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.”

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that in the earlier writ petition, the Respondent No. 5 was directed to place the case of the petitioner before the State Level Committee, which was not carried out. Thereafter, no contempt petition has also been filed.

5. Since there is already a directions issued by the Court, no further directions is called for.

6. Nevertheless, this present writ petition stands disposed of, directing the Respondent No. 3 to carry out this order, if not already considered, within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copies of this order.

7. Accordingly, in terms of the above this writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant