

GAHC010118852016



2026:GAU-AS:6090

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/5845/2016**

EMAL HEMBROM and 4 ORS  
VILL. JIADANGA/PALASHGURI, P.S. TAMARHAT, CIRCLE- BAGRIBARI,  
DIST. KOKRAJHAR, ASSAM.

2: SAMUEL HEMBROM  
VILL. JIADANGA  
P.S. TAMARHAT  
CIRCLE- BAGRIBARI  
DIST. KOKRAJHAR  
ASSAM.

3: MONI SOREN  
D/O. LT. SOMAI SOREN  
VILL. PONORGAON  
P.O. BASHBARI  
P.S. GAURIPUR  
DIST. DHUBRI  
ASSAM.

4: BAHA MANI MURMU  
W/O. LT. RAMU TUDU  
VILL. TUNGSHI RELIEF CAMP CIRCLE- BAGRIBARI  
P.S. DHUBRI  
DIST. DHUBRI  
ASSAM.

5: SUSHILA HEMBROM  
S/O. LT. LASER MURMU  
VILL. TUNGSHI RELIEF CAMP CIRCLE- BAGRIBARI  
P.S. DHUBRI  
DIST. DHUBRI  
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS  
REP. BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR, GHY.-  
06.

2:THE COMMISSIONER and SECY.  
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
RELIEF AND REHABILITATION DEPTT.  
DISPUR  
GHY.-06.

3:THE COMMISSIONER and SECY.  
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
PERSONNEL-B DEPTT.  
DISPUR  
GHY.-06.

4:THE COMMISSIONER and SECY.  
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
FINANCE DEPTT.  
DISPUR  
GHY.-06.

5:THE JOINT SECRETARY  
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
REVENUE AND SISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPTT.  
RELIEF AND REHABILITATION BRANCH  
DISPUR  
GHY.-06.

6:THE DY. COMMISSIONER

DHUBRI  
DIST. DHUBRI  
ASSAM.

7:THE CIRCLE OFFICER

BAGRIBARI REVENUE CIRCLE  
BAGRIBARI  
DIST. DHUBRI  
ASSAM

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MRS.H KALITA, MR.R K MALAKAR,MSK R SANKAR

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA, ASSAM, MR.A THAKUR(PERSONNEL-B),SC, FINANCE

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

**ORDER**

**Date : 05-05-2026**

None appears for the petitioner on call.

Mr. H. K. Hazarika, learned State Counsel, and Ms. G. Hazarika, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department, for respondent Nos. 2 & 5, are present.

The petitioners, being the next of kin of the deceased person allegedly killed by extremists, were granted *ex gratia* of Rs. 1,00,000/- each. The claim of the petitioners in the present writ petition is for enhancement of the said amount to Rs. 3,00,000/- each in terms of a subsequent Office Memorandum, thereby seeking an additional amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- each.

The State respondents, by filing their affidavit, have categorically stated that for enhancement of *ex gratia* as per the relevant Office Memorandum/Policy, the claim has to be applied through proper channel, i.e. through the District Commissioner, who would verify such claim and forward the same to the Government for grant of *ex gratia*. However, no such proposal/claim has been received by the State as on date.

Upon consideration of the matter, it appears that the petitioners have not applied for the additional *ex gratia* through proper channel as required under

the Policy. At the same time, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners which indicates that they are no longer interested to pursue this writ petition.

Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**