

GAHC010118092026



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : CRP/89/2026**

SMTI SUKHJIT KAUR AND 2 ORS.  
DAUGHTER OF SHREE SUKHDEV SINGH, PERMANENT RESIDENT OF  
RAJABARI, JORHAT, ASSAM-785001.

2: MANJIT SINGH  
SON OF SHREE SUKHDEV SINGH  
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF RAJABARI  
JORHAT  
ASSAM-785001.

3: INJEET SINGH MARWAH  
SON OF SHREE SUKHDEV SINGH  
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF RAJABARI  
JORHAT  
ASSAM-785001

VERSUS

HARDEEP SINGH MATHAROO AND 7 ORS.  
SON OF LATE SARDAR DEWAN SINGH, RESIDENT OF TAHEL SINGH  
NAGAR, P.O.- RAJABARI, JORHAT, ASSAM- 785014

2:KAMALJEET SINGH MATHAROO  
SON OF LATE DARSHAN SINGH MATHAROO  
RESIDENT OF TAHEL SINGH NAGAR  
P.O.- RAJABARI  
JORHAT  
ASSAM- 785014

3:SMTI PURAB KAUR  
WIFE OF LATE AMARPAL SINGH MATHAROO  
RESIDENT OF EVANSTON  
ILLINOIS  
USA

4:SMTI MAYER KAUR MATHAROO  
DAUGHTER OF LATE AMARPAL SINGH MATHAROO  
RESIDENT OF EVANSTON  
ILLINOIS  
USA

5:BALDEV SINGH  
SON OF LATE NANTI KAUR AND LATE CHANAN SINGH  
RESIDENT OF SONIPATH  
SECTOR-15  
NEAR GURUDWARA GURU TEKBAHADUR  
HARYANA- 131001

6:JASWANT SINGH  
SON OF LATE NANTI KAUR AND LATE CHANAN SINGH  
RESIDENT OF SONIPATH  
SECTOR-15  
NEAR GURUDWARA GURU TEKBAHADUR  
HARYANA- 131001

7:SMTI KAKI KAUR  
DAUGHTER OF LATE NANTI KAUR AND LATE CHANAN SINGH  
RESIDENT OF SONIPATH  
SECTOR-15  
NEAR GURUDWARA GURU TEKBAHADUR  
HARYANA- 131001

8:SMTI JASWANT KAUR  
DAUGHTER OF LATE TAHEL SINGH  
WIFE OF MR. INDERJIT SINGH KOHKAR  
RESIDENT OF C1/9  
RING ROAD  
RAJOURI GARDEN  
NEW DELHI- 2

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A DHAR, R SARMA,M. BORDOLOI  
**Advocate for the Respondent** : ,

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 19.06.2026**

**1.** Heard Mr. A. Dhar, learned counsel for the petitioners.

**2.** This Civil Revision Petition, has been registered on filing of an application under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, by the petitioners impugning the order dated 21.02.2026, passed by the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jorhat, in Misc.(J) Case No. 50/2024, arising out of Title Suit No. 21/2016, whereby an application filed by the present petitioners praying for impleading one Sardar Sukhraj Singh in the aforesaid suit was rejected.

**3.** The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that though the petitioners are aggrieved by the impugned order, however, they are apprehensive about the impact of observations made by the trial court while rejecting their application in the impugned order which may have a bearing on final decision of the title suit. He submits that while disposing of the application filed by the present petitioners for impleading Sardar Sukhraj Singh in Paragraph No. 10 onwards of the impugned judgment, the Court has made certain observation as regards which may have a bearing on the merit of the case though, the trial has not yet been commenced and issues are yet to be framed.

**4.** He submits that the grievance of the petitioners may be addressed by making an observation that the observations made by the trial court in the impugned order may not have a bearing on the final outcome of the trial.

**5.** I have gone through the impugned order as well as other documents annexed along with the revision petition.

**6.** Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the trial court not to be influenced by its observations made in the impugned order while deciding the title suit and same

shall be decided on its own merit. The trial court is accordingly directed.

**7.** With the above observations, this civil revision petition is disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**