

GAHC010116032019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3562/2019

WASIM RUSKIN KHAN
S/O- AIYNUL HOQUE KHAN, R/O- H.NO. 1140, WARD NO.16, BAPUJI NAGAR
(SALBARI), P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783126, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, PANCHAYAT
AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT., DISPUR P.O. GHY-6

2:THE COMMISSIONER
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT GOVT. OF ASSAM
JURIPAR
PANJABARI P.O. GHY-36

3:THE DIRECTOR
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT GOVT. OF ASSAM
JURIPAR
PANJABARI P.O. GHY-36

4:M/S BLOOM ELECTRONIC PVT. LTD.
A PVT. LTD. COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 365
BHARATHIYAR ROAD
NEW SIDHAPUDUR
P.O. COIMBATORE- 641044
TAMILNADU

5:DHRUBO JYOTI CHOWDHURY
R/O- BELTOLA
BALADMARI
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783121

6:TANZIL ALI DEWAN
R/O- WARD NO. 14
DOSTINAGAR
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783121

7:JOY JYOTI DAS
R/O- BAPUJINAGAR
NEAR NAM GHAR
BALADMARI
P.O. GOALPARA- 783121

8:SHORIFA SULTANA
WARD NO. 16
BAPUJINAGAR
BALADMARI
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 78312

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR J C GAUR, MR. U C DAS

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, MR. L MOHAN,MS F BEGUM,MR. J I
BORBHUIYA,MR. A ROY(SC, PNRD),MS J DEKA,MR. T DAS,MR. P SARMA,MR. O P BHATI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

ORDER

13.09.2024

Heard Mr. J. C. Gaur, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K.

Konwar, learned Standing Counsel, PWD, Assam appearing for the respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3, who submits that within two weeks from today an affidavit on behalf of the department will be placed on record indicating the manner in which the results were published in this case thereby facilitating disposal of the writ petition.

Mr. O. P. Bhati, learned counsel for the respondent No.5 submits that the present case is covered by the judgment of this Court rendered in the case of Chayan Gogoi and another Vs. The State of Assam and others [WP(C) No.2979/2020 and

the batch of other connected cases] decided on 27.08.2020. Mr. Gaur has, however, contested the said submission.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that counter-affidavit on behalf of the official respondents will be necessary for disposal of the writ petition. As such, this matter stands adjourned for three weeks.

On or before the next date fixed, the official respondents shall bring their stand on record by filing affidavit.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant