

GAHC010116032019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3562/2019

WASIM RUSKIN KHAN
S/O- AIYNUL HOQUE KHAN, R/O- H.NO. 1140, WARD NO.16, BAPUJI NAGAR
(SALBARI), P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783126, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, PANCHAYAT
AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT., DISPUR P.O. GHY-6

2:THE COMMISSIONER
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT GOVT. OF ASSAM
JURIPAR
PANJABARI P.O. GHY-36

3:THE DIRECTOR
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT GOVT. OF ASSAM
JURIPAR
PANJABARI P.O. GHY-36

4:M/S BLOOM ELECTRONIC PVT. LTD.
A PVT. LTD. COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 365
BHARATHIYAR ROAD
NEW SIDHAPUDUR
P.O. COIMBATORE- 641044
TAMILNADU

5:DHRUBO JYOTI CHOWDHURY
R/O- BELTOLA
BALADMARI
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783121

6:TANZIL ALI DEWAN
R/O- WARD NO. 14
DOSTINAGAR
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 783121

7:JOY JYOTI DAS
R/O- BAPUJINAGAR
NEAR NAM GHAR
BALADMARI
P.O. GOALPARA- 783121

8:SHORIFA SULTANA
WARD NO. 16
BAPUJINAGAR
BALADMARI
P.O. AND DIST- GOALPARA- 78312

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR J C GAUR

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

ORDER

Date : 30.03.2022

None appears for the petitioner on call.

Mr. J. I. Borbhuiya, learned counsel appears for the respondent nos. 6, 7 & 8.

The petitioner's case is that they have taken part in the combined competitive examination 2018 held on 20.05.2018. However, the petitioner was not selected. He has made a challenge to the selection of the private respondents on the ground that there were irregularities and illegalities in the selection process, in violation of the terms and conditions set out in the advertisement dated 21.12.2018.

Mr. J. I. Borbhuiya, learned counsel submits that the petitioner having taken part in the selection process, he cannot turn around and subsequently question the methods of selection and its outcome.

In this regard, he has relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in ***Ashok Kumar and Another Vs State of Bihar and Others***, reported in ***2017 4 SCC 365*** and in the case of ***Pradeep Kumar Rai Vs. Dinesh Kumar Pandey and Others***, reported in ***2015 11 SCC 496***.

He also submits that there are no specifics given in the writ petition, to show the exact nature of illegality and irregularity committed by the respondents, while selecting and appointing the private respondents.

In the interest of justice, the matter be listed again after the Bihu Vacation.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant