

GAHC010256972014



2026:GAU-AS:2741

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4266/2016

JUGAL KR. NATH
S/O- SRI GIRISH CHANDRA NATH
R/O VILL.- BATARHAT DAHALI
P.O.- BATARHAT
P.S.- PALASHBARI
DIST.- KAMRUP
ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and 6 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER and PRINCIPAL SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM
FOREST DEPTT.
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GHY- 6.

2:THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
ENVIRONMENT AND FOREST DEPTT.
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GHY- 6.

3:THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS AND HEAD OF
FOREST FORCE
ASSAM
PANJABARI
GHY- 37.

4:THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS T
LOWER ASSAM ZONE
PANBAZAR

GHY- 1.

5:THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
CENTRAL ASSAM CIRCLE
PANBAZAR
GHY- 1.

6:THE DIVISIONAL FORESTS OFFICER
KAMRUP WEST DIVISION
BAMUNIGAON
DIST.- KAMRUP
ASSAM
PIN- 781141.

7:NILIMA BODO
W/O- SRI BINOY DAS
JANATA PRESS ROAD
MIRZA- 781125
P.O.- MIRZA
P.S.- PALASBARI
DIST.- KAMRUP
ASSAM.

For the Petitioner(s) : Ms. D. Angna, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. I. Borthakur, Standing Counsel

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 23.02.2026

Heard Ms. D. Angna, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. I. Borthakur, the learned Standing Counsel appears on behalf of the Forest Department.

2. The petitioner herein has assailed the order dated 10.05.2016, issued by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests and Head of Forest Force, Assam, whereby a mineral concession

was granted in favour of the respondent No. 7.

3. A perusal of the impugned order dated 10.05.2016 reveals that the Letter of Intent granting the mining permit was issued in favour of the respondent No. 7 for a period of 7 (seven) years. The record reveals that although notices were issued, no stay was granted in respect to the said impugned order.

4. Under such circumstances, nothing further survives for consideration, taking into account that the period for which the mining contract was granted to the respondent No. 7 vide the impugned order dated 10.05.2016, have already spent its force. Accordingly, the writ petition has become infructuous and stands closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant