

GAHC010112422019



2026:GAU-AS:9677

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3475/2019

BIMAL CH. BHOWMIK
S/O- LATE SRI SATISH CH BHOWMIK, VILL- RADHA NAGAR, P.O- JOGIJAN
BAZAR, DIST- NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN- 782429

VERSUS

THE CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION AND 3 ORS.
REP. BY THE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, AUGUST KRANTI
BHAVAN, BHIKAJI CAMA PLACE, NEW DELHI- 110066

2:THE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER
CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
AUGUST KRANTI BHAVAN
BHIKAJI CAMA PLACE
NEW DELHI
PIN- 110066

3:THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
RTI APPELLATE AUTHORITY
ONGC JEEVAN BHARATI TOWER-II
124 INDRA CHOWK
NEW DELHI- 110001

4:THE CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
RTI AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
SECURITY AND VIGILANCE ONGC LIMITED
TEL BHAVAN
DEHRADUN
UTTARAKHAND
PIN- 24800

Advocate for the petitioner(s): Mr. M Barbhuiya

Advocate for the respondent(s): Mr. B Choudhury

For respondent Nos.3 and 4

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
ORDER

15.07.2026

Heard Mr. M Barbhuiya, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B Choudhury, the learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 4.

2. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 25.07.2016 passed by the Central Information Commission, New Delhi and further also seeks for a direction for providing information to the petitioner in terms with the information sought for vide the RTI Application dated 22.05.2008.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4 submitted that *insofar as* the information pertaining to Sl.No.1 and 2 of the application dated 22.05.2008, the respondents do not have records of such information. As regards, the information at Sl.No.3 of the application dated 22.05.2008, the same has been duly provided. This aspect is also seen from the impugned order dated 25.07.2016.

4. Taking into account that the said information is not available, this Court is

not in a position now to direct the respondent Nos.3 and 4 to produce or provide the information which has been sought for at Sl.No.1 and 2 of the application dated 22.05.2008.

5. This Court also does not find any illegality in the impugned order dated 25.07.2016.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant