

GAHC010111232024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RFA/42/2024

RASHNA SARMA
W/O SRI MANOJ KUMAR SARMA, R/O NAMGHAR PATH, HOUSE NO. 22(A),
JAYANAGAR, P.S.- DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 781022, DIST.- KAMRUP (M),
ASSAM.

VERSUS

DHIREN SHARMA AND 2 ORS.
S/O LATE GANGARAM SHARMA, R/O SHAKTIGARH PATH, HOUSE NO. 49,
S.S. ROAD, GUWAHATI- 781005, DIST.- KAMRUP (M), ASSAM.

2:RAJU SHARMA
S/O SRI DHIREN SHARMA
R/O SHAKTIGARH PATH
HOUSE NO. 49
S.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI- 781005
DIST.- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

3:THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER
BASISTHA ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION
SIX MILE
DIST.- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A IKBAL, MS. J SARMA,MS S SIDDIQUA,MR. R BARUAH

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

O R D E R

Date : 18.09.2025.

Heard Mr. G. Imtiaz, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. A. Ikbali, learned engaged counsel for the appellant. No one is represented the respondents side.

From the order dated 05.06.2024, it reveals that the respondents were duly represented by Mr. J. Sharma, learned counsel but inadvertently the name of learned counsel for the respondents is not reflected in the cause list.

In view of the above, the Registry is directed to reflect the name of the learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.

List the matter after the Puja Vacation on the date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant