

GAHC010108962019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3227/2019

MIRA DEY CHOUDHURY
W/O- LT SADHAN RANJAN DEY CHOUDHURY, R/O- H.NO. 5, BY-LANE
NO.1, RAMKRISHNA SARANI, NATIONAL HIGHWAY, SILCHAR- 788012

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT.OF ASSAM, URBAN
DEVELOPMENT DEPTT., DISPUR, GHY-6

2:THE DIRECTOR
TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING
DISPUR
GHY-6

3:THE DY. DIRECTOR
TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING
DISTRICT OFFICE
SILCHAR
P.O. SILCHAR
DIST- CACHAR
ASSAM

4:THE DY. COMMISSIONER
CACHAR
SILCHAR

5:THE CHAIRMAN
SILCHAR MUNICIPAL BOARD
P.O. SILCHAR
DIST- CACHAR
ASSAM

PIN- 788001

6:RAJIB DUTTA
S/O- LT SATYA RANJAN DUTTA
R/O- BY-LANE NO 1
RAMKRISHNA SARANI
NATIONAL HIGHWAY
SILCHAR- 788012

7:BANNISIKHA DEB ROY
W/O- RAJIB DUTTA
R/O- BY-LANE NO 1
RAMKRISHNA SARANI
NATIONAL HIGHWAY
SILCHAR- 78801

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S P CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

21.02.2022

Heard Ms. B. Devi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. H.K. Das, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 6 and 7.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition with a grievance that the RCC building of the respondent nos. 6 and 7, which exists in her neighbouring plot, is not conforming to by-laws/zoning regulations as the distance between the set-back of the RCC building and the boundary wall of the petitioner are not as per requisite norms.

Mr. S. Dutta, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent no. 5, Silchar Municipal Board has sought for 4 [four] weeks' time to file an affidavit to clarify the issue.

The prayer is allowed.

List the case accordingly after 4 [four] weeks.

The interim order passed earlier shall continue in the meantime.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant