

GAHC010108332026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WA/172/2026

NO. 031522257 EX CONSTABLE UJJAL SINGHA
SON OF LATE ABIR SINGHA, VILLAGE- MAINARBAND, P.O.- GOSSIPUR,
P.S.- UDARBAND, DISTRICT- CACHAR, ASSAM- 788030

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
BLOCK NO. 1
C.G.O. COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI- 110003

3:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE
NORTH WEST SECTOR
CRPF
PINJOR
CHANDIGARH
TEH- PINJOR
DISTRICT- PANCHKHULA
HARYANA- 134104

4:THE COMMANDANT
152 BN
CRPF
I.T.I. COMPLEX

SHASTRI NAGAR
P.O. AND DISTRICT- GOALPARA
ASSAM- 78312

For the petitioner/appellant(s) : Mr. S. Nath, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. U.K. Goswami, CGC

- B E F O R E -

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHUTOSH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY**

03.06.2026

(Ashutosh Kumar, CJ)

We have heard Mr. S. Nath, learned Advocate for the appellant, and Mr. U. K. Goswami, learned Central Government counsel for the respondents/Union of India and others.

The present appeal has been preferred by the appellant challenging the order dated 29.04.2026, passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court WP(C) No. 5001/2015, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellant has been dismissed.

The appellant has been dismissed from the service of Constable (GD), CRPF. Three charges were levelled against him in a departmental proceeding, namely, (i) of his having made and used a fake service identity card; (ii) contracting a second marriage without consent of, and without divorcing his first wife, namely, L. Nandita Singha, in violation of the CRPF Rules; and (iii) failing to inform the department about the criminal case registered against him by L. Nandita Singha.

The records reveal that one L. Nandita Singha made a complaint that the appellant had married another lady called Premabati Singha

during the subsistence of his marriage with her. She had agreed to marry the appellant only after being satisfied that he was employed in the CRPF as a constable, who had disclosed his name as "Debasish Singha". She was satisfied about his identity on his showing his service identity card to her, on which there was a photograph of the appellant and his name was described as "Debasish Singha" and not "Ujjal Singha", which is the real name of the appellant.

In the departmental proceeding, the charges were found to be proved against the appellant and he was dismissed from service, against which order the appellant preferred an appeal before the appellate authority, which too was dismissed.

Being aggrieved, the appellant approached this Court.

The learned Single Judge, vide the judgment impugned affirmed the order of dismissal by the disciplinary authority as also the order of the appellate authority affirming the punishment of dismissal.

Mr. Nath has submitted that the disciplinary authority did not take into account the fact that there was no marriage between the appellant and the complainant, L. Nandita Singha, and that there had been no forensic examination of the so-called fabricated service identity card of the appellant. He has further raised the issue that the complaint was only a retaliatory move of L. Nandita Singha, with whom the appellant had never married. The criminal case lodged against the appellant also ended into his discharge.

Let notice be issued to the respondents.

Mr. U. K. Goswami, learned Central Government counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He shall respond to this Memo. of

appeal by the next date.

Re-notify on **19.08.2026**.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant