

GAHC010107092026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2842/2026

SHRI SURESHWAR PODDAR
S/O SARYUG PODDAR, R/O SANJOG APARTMENT, KAHILIPARA ROAD, P/O-
KAHILIPARA, P/S-DISPUR, GUWAHATI, DIST KAMRUP (M), ASSAM, PIN
-781006

VERSUS

THE RESERVE BANK OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE OMBUDSMAN, RESERVE BANK OF INDIA,
STATION ROAD, PAN BAZAR, GUWAHATI 781001, ASSAM

2:INDUSIND BANK LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER
GANESHGURI BRANCH
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP M
ASSAM 781006

3:HDFC BANK LIMITED BPCL FLEET E COLLECT
REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORIZED OFFICER CONCERNED MANAGER
HAVING OFFICE AT HDFC BANK HOUSE
SENAPATI BAPAT MARG
LOWER PAREL
MUMBAI 400013
MAHARSHTRA

4:IDFC FIRST BANK LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER
FANCY BAZAR BRANCH
UPPER GROUND FLOOR
MG ROAD
FANCY BAZAR

GHY
KAMRUP M
ASSAM 781001

5:DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
CRIME
GUWAHATI
DIST KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM
PIN- 781001

6:OFFICER IN CHARGE
DISPUR POLICE STATION
DIST KAMRUP M
ASSAM 781006

7:EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND PRINCIPAL NODAL OFFICER
INDUSIND BANK LTD
OPUS CENTER
47
CENTRAL ROAD
OPP TUNGA PARADISE HOTEL MIDC ANDHERI EAST
MUMBAI 40009

Advocate for the Petitioner : TAWHID LASKAR, S K RAHMATULLAH,R AMIN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 03.06.2026

Heard Mr. S. K. Rahmatullah, learned counsel for the petitioner.

By filing this petition, the petitioner has put to challenge the order dated 20.02.2026 passed by the Reserve Bank of India Ombudsman, respondent No.1 herein, whereby, the complaint of the petitioner regarding premature closure of his fixed deposit in the Indusland Bank, Ganeshguri branch and the subsequent alleged fraudulent transfer of Rs.6,50,000/- has been rejected.

It is the case of the petitioner that the moment the petitioner was aware of the fraudulent transaction, the same was reported to the concerned authorities for necessary action. However, no

action was taken as required.

Issue notice, returnable by 4 (four) weeks.

Since Mr. A. Hussain, learned counsel has entered appearance and accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. H. Sarma, learned State Counsel appears for the respondents No.5, 6 & 7 no formal notice is required to be issued to the said respondents.

However, requisite extra copy of the writ petition be furnished to the learned counsel for the respondents within 3 (three) days from today.

The learned counsel for the petitioner shall take steps for service of notice upon the respondents No. 1 to 3 by speed post as well as usual process within 3 (three) working days from today.

List after 4 (four) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant