

GAHC010097722026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/1888/2026

VEERVATI JADAV
W/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN

2: KAJAL
D/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN

3: PRIYA
D/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN

4: RIYA
D/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN

5: NIDHI
D/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN

6: RITESH
S/O. LATE RAM LOCHAN
ALL ARE PERMANENT R/O. SAROJ NAGAR
BHAWANIPURA
WARD NO. 26
BHIND
MADHYA PRADESH
PIN-477001.
ALL ARE TEMPORARILY R/O. VILL.- ULUBARI
GUWHATI-781007
DIST. KAMRUP(M)
ASSAM.(RESPONDENT NO. 4 TO 6 BEING MINORS REP. BY RESPONDENT
NO.1)

7: SBI GEBERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.
HAVING ITS CORPORATE AND REGISTERED OFFICE AT NATRAJ
101
201 AND 301 JUNCTION OF WESTERN EXPRESS HIGHWAY AND ANDHERI
KURIA ROAD

ANDHERI (EAST) MUMBAI-400069 AND ACTING THROUGH ITS BRANCH
OFFICE AT BRANCH MANAGER
SBI
GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. 2ND FLOOR
LAKSHMI DARSHAN BUILDING
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI-781005

VERSUS

M/S SHREE GAUTAM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LTD
A LIMITED COMPANY, HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 4TH FLOOR, AMAZE
TOWER, A.T. ROAD, GUWAHATI-781001.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. S DEB, MR. N J GOGOI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. R SHARMA, MR. A J SAIKIA, MR. S K GOSWAMI

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN
ORDER

12.06.2026

Heard Mr. N.J. Gogoi, learned counsel for the applicants/claimants. Also heard Mr. S.K. Goswami, learned counsel for the respondent/opposite party No.1 and Mr. S. Pegu, learned counsel for the SBI General Insurance Co. Ltd.

This application under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, is preferred by applicants to withdraw 50% of the awarded amount deposited before the Registry of this Court in connection with MFA No.59/2024.

Mr. Gogoi, learned counsel for the applicants/claimants submits that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 18.11.2024 passed in I.A.(Civil) No.3504/2024, the opposite party No.1 has deposited 50% of the awarded amount before the Registry of this Court, vide deposit slip, dated 27.11.2024 and the applicants herein may be allowed to withdraw

the same.

Mr. Goswami, learned counsel for the opposite party No.1 submits that he has no objection, but he submits that the applicants may be allowed to withdraw the amount subject to furnishing a bond.

Accordingly, taking note of the submission of learned counsel for both the parties, this interlocutory application stands allowed, subject however furnishing one bond of equal amount before the Registry of this Court. And further, Mr. Gogoi shall furnish the amended cause title in this application.

Mr. Goswami has pointed out that in the present case the applicant Nos.2—6 are minors and there is a requirement of protection of interest.

The submission of Mr. Goswami shall be considered at the time of final disposal of the appeal.

In terms of above, this I.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/- Robin Phukan
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant