

GAHC010094102020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C) 3323/2020

1:SANJAY SARMA
S/O SRI ANIL KANTI SARMA,
RESIDENT OF BILASIPARA TOWN WARD NO. 11, PO HAKMA, DIST DHUBRI
ASSAM

VERSUS

1:THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.
THROUGH THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI 781006

2:THE DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

3:BILASIPARA MUNICIPAL BOARD
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN
PO AND PS BILASIPARA
DHUBRI ASSAM

4:THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
BILASIPARA MUNICIPAL BOARD

PO AND PS BILASIPARA
DHUBRI ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S C BISWAS

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

ORDER

14.09.2020

Heard Mr. S. C. Biswas, learned counsel for the writ petitioner.

Assailing the order dated 31.12.2019, the present writ petition has been filed. The writ petitioner was earlier engaged temporarily as a muster roll worker in the office of the Municipal Board at Bilasipara. By the impugned order dated 31.12.2019 he was released from duty all of a sudden without issuing any prior notice. Aggrieved thereby, the present writ petition has been filed.

Mr. S. S. Roy, learned Govt. Advocate, Assam, prays for some time to obtain instruction in the matter.

Issue notice returnable in four weeks.

Since Mr. S. S. Roy, learned Govt. Advocate, Assam, has entered appearance and accepted notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4, no formal notice is required to be sent to the said respondents. However, extra copies of the writ petition, adequate in numbers, be furnished to the learned Govt. Advocate, Assam within a week from today so as to enable him to obtain instruction.

Petitioner to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No.3 by registered post with A/D as well as by usual process within a week from today.

Heard on the prayer of interim relief.

The said prayer will be considered on the returnable date.

It is, however, provided that pendency of this writ petition shall not be a bar for the respondent No.3 to redress the grievance of the writ petitioner in

accordance with law, if so advised.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant