

GAHC010091112025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : FAO/3/2026**

ABU TAHIRUD DOZA  
S/O LATE ABU HASANUD DOZA, R/O VILL. GERAMARI PART-I, P.O.  
GERAMARI, P.S. GAURIPUR, DIST. DHUBRI, ASSAM

VERSUS

EVANITY DHAR ALAIS AQSA AHMED  
EVANITY DHAR ALAIS AQSA AHMED D/O- LATE WIKING SUCHIANG R/O-  
HOUSE NO.55, MULIEH, PWD ROAD, MAWKYNDENG, JOWAI, WEST  
JAINTIA HILLS, LASKEIN, PO AND PS-JOWAI, DISTRICT-WEST JAINTIA  
HILLS (MEGHALAYA) PIN-793150

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR JEEFUL ISLAM MONDAL, MR. R. KARIM,MR J I MONDAL

**Advocate for the Respondent** : , Z HAQUE,MR G BARGAYARY

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 01.04.2026**

- 1.** Heard Mr. R. Karim, the learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Mr. P. P. Medhi, the learned counsel for the respondent, who seeks sometime to file written objection against the contention raised by the appellant in this appeal.

- 2.** The prayer is allowed.
- 3.** The name of Mr. P. P. Medhi shall be reflected in the cause list, as the engaged counsel for the respondent, henceforth.
- 4.** The learned counsel for the appellant submits that he does not have any objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the respondent for adjournment, however, he submits that since the impugned order has allowed the petitioner to meet his minor daughter twice a week for three hours during holidays or after working hours of the opposite parties, he may be allowed to meet his daughter.
- 5.** He submits that the respondent is not allowing the appellant to meet his daughter and is not complying with the Court's order.
- 6.** On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondent submits that since the respondent is a working lady it becomes difficult on her part if the appellant visits her in odd hours seeking her visitation right to meet his daughter.
- 7.** He submits that the respondent does not have any objection to comply with the direction of the Family Court in the impugned order provided the appellant comes for meeting his child at an agreed time between the parties.
- 8.** Since, there is no stay of the impugned order, both the parties are directed to mutually decide the meeting hours of the appellant, so that the impugned order may be complied with during the pendency of this appeal.

**9.** Let this matter be listed on 21.05.2026.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**