

GAHC010090172025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2292/2025

NUR ZAMAL AND ORS
SON OF NAYCHAN SK, R/O.- VILL TARANGAPUR, P.S.- LAKHIPUR,
DISTRICT- GOALPARA, ASSAM

2: JAHIRUL ISLAM
SON OF NAYCHAN SK
R/O.- VILL TARANGAPUR
P.S.- LAKHIPUR
DISTRICT- GOALPARA
ASSAM

3: ADDUL WAHAB ALIAS HAFIZ ALI
SON OF NAYCHAN SK
R/O.- VILL TARANGAPUR
P.S.- LAKHIPUR
DISTRICT- GOALPARA
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT (ROADS) DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 6

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGMENT
DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GHY-06

3:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (ROADS)
ASSAM

CHANDMARI
P.S. AND P.O.- CHANDMARI
DIST.- KAMRUP(M)
GHY-03

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
GOALPARA
DIST.- GOALPARA
ASSAM
PIN-783101

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
ROAD
GOALPARA DIVISION
P.S.- GOALPARA
DIST.- GOALPARA
ASSAM
783101

6:THE ASSTT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD ROAD
LAKHIPUR SUB-DIVISION
P.S.- LAKHIPUR
DIST.- GOALPARA
ASSAM-783101

7:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
LAKHIPUR REVENUE CIRCLE
DIST.- GOALPARA
PIN-78312

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M I HUSSAIN, N. UDDIN,MS. P AHMED,R KHA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC. REVENUE,SC, PWD ROAD

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : --02.05.2025

Heard Mr. M.I. Hussain, learned counsel for the petitioners; Ms. M. Das, learned Standing Counsel, PWD for the respondent nos. 1, 3, 5 & 6; Ms. G. Hazarika, learned

Standing Counsel, Revenue & Disaster Management Department for the respondent no. 2; and Ms. M. Barman, learned Junior Government Advocate for the respondent nos. 4 & 7.

Having gone through the writ petition and the annexures, this Court finds that the writ petitioner has annexed a number of photographs to substantiate his case. But, the photographs are found to be in black and white. From the black and white photographs which appear to be bit blurred, the same cannot be properly appreciated.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Savita Rasiklal Mandan and another vs. Union of India and others, Diary no. 36435/2024 in Order dated 09.09.2024, has observed that :-

For a fairly long time that parties take full liberty in placing on record black and white photocopies of photographs, mostly which are blurred. The Registry is directed not to entertain hitherto any black and white photographs without prior permission of the Court.

Mr. Hussain, learned counsel for the petitioner is granted 1 [one] week's time to replace the photographs with coloured photographs of the same photocopies, appearing at Page nos. 42, 45, 46 & 47 of the writ petition.

The office to retain the black and white photographs in the file.

List the case on 09.05.2025.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant