

GAHC010089152026



DB

2026:GAU-AS:7566-

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : Review.Pet./79/2026**

H. DEBASISH SINGHA AND 2 ORS  
S/O SAMARESH CHAKRABORTY, COLLEGE ROAD, SILCHAR, DIST.  
CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN 788004

2: PAPIA DESHMUKHYA  
DAUGHTER OF PRAVASH CHANDRA DESHMUKHYA  
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- TARAPUR PART-VII  
P.S. SILCHAR  
SADAR SUB- DIVISION  
SILCHAR  
IN THE DISTRICT OF CACHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788003.

3: NIRUPAM SAHA  
SON OF - SRI UTTAM KUMAR SAHA  
RESIDENT OF P.W.D. QUARTER  
SADAR GHAT  
SILCHAR  
P.S.- SILCHAR  
P.O. SILCHAR  
IN THE DISTRICT OF CACHAR  
ASSAM  
SILCHAR- 788001

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,  
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI  
781006

2:THE COMMISSIONER

PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
PANJABARI  
GUWAHATI- 781037  
IN THE DISTRICT OF KAMRUP (METRO)  
ASSAM.

3:THE DIRECTOR  
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEPARTMENT  
PANJABARI  
GUWAHATI- 781037  
IN THE DISTRICT OF KAMRUP(METRO)  
ASSAM.

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
CACHAR DISTRICT  
SILCHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788001.

5:THE PROJECT DIRECTOR

DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (DRDA)  
CACHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788001.

6:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
SONAI DEVELOPMENT BLOCK  
IN THE DISTRICT OF CACHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788006.

7:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
SALCHAPRA DEVELOPMENT BLOCK  
IN THE DISTRICT OF CACHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788814.

8:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
BARJALENGA DEVELOPMENT BLOCK  
IN THE DISTRICT OF CACHAR  
ASSAM  
PIN- 788117

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A H M R CHOUDHURY,

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA, ASSAM, SC, P AND R.D.

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

**ORDER**

**Date : 01-06-2026**

Heard Ms. S. Ahmed, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. A. H. M. R. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioners.

The order dated 06.02.2026 passed in WP(C) No. 3047/2018 and WP(C) No. 1070/2018, which is sought to be reviewed in the present proceeding, is an order dismissing the said writ petitions for non-prosecution.

This Court is of the considered view that, once a proceeding has been dismissed for non-prosecution, the appropriate remedy available to the aggrieved party is to seek restoration or recall of the order by filing an appropriate application.

In view of the above, the present review petition being not maintainable, is accordingly dismissed.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for restoration/recall of the order dated 06.02.2026, if so advised.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**