

GAHC010197832019



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3680/2019

JIBAN DEY
S/O LATE JUGAL KISHORE DEY, R/O VILL. DHOPERTOL, P.O. AND P.S.
FAKIRAGRAM, DIST. KOKRAJHAR, BTC, ASSAM, PIN 783345

VERSUS

LAL MOHAN DAS
S/O LATE BIDESHI DAS, R/O VILL. PACHAGARH, P.O. AND P.S.
FAKIRAGRAM, DIST. KOKRAJHAR, BTC, ASSAM, PIN 783345

2:RUDAL DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O. AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

3:CHINIRAM DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O. AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

4:DILIP KUMAR DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O. AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM

DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

5:ROHIT DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

6:RAJU DAS
S/O SRI RUDAL DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

7:SWAPAN SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

8:SAMAR SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

9:SWAPAN SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM

PIN 783345

10:SAMAR SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

11:DIPAK SARKAR
S/O LATE NANDALAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 78334

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M K SHARMA,

Advocate for the Respondent : MS P BARMAN, MR. S ZAMAN,MR. M ALAM

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/3681/2019

JIBAN DEY
S/O LATE JUGAL KISHORE DEY
R/O VILL. DHOPERTOL
P.O. AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

VERSUS

LAL MOHAN DAS
S/O LATE BIDESHI DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR

BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

2:RUDAL DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

3:CHINIRAM DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

4:DILIP KUMAR DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

5:ROHIT DAS
S/O SRI LAL MOHAN DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

6:RAJU DAS
S/O SRI RUDAL DAS
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

7:SWAPAN SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

8:SAMAR SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

9:SWAPAN SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

10:SAMAR SARKAR
S/O LATE BINOD LAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

11:DIPAK SARKAR
S/O LATE NANDALAL SARKAR
R/O VILL. PACHAGARH
P.O.AND P.S. FAKIRAGRAM
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN 783345

Advocate for : MR. M K SHARMA
Advocate for : appearing for LAL MOHAN DAS

Linked Case : RSA/228/2005

JIBAN DEY

VERSUS

LAL MOHAN DAS

Advocate for : MD.A MALEQUE
Advocate for : MR.A SHARIF appearing for LAL MOHAN DAS

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date : 19.01.2026

- 1.** Heard Mr. M. K. Sharma, the learned counsel for the applicant.
- 2.** On perusal of the office note dated 09.01.2026, it appears that the service of notice in respect of the notice issued to the respondent No.8 has returned and it has been reported that the notices for service report on the said respondent.
- 3.** In view of the aforesaid report a notice issued to the respondent No. 8 is held to be duly served on the said respondent.
- 4.** The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that though the respondent No.1 has expired, however the legal heirs of the respondent No. 1 are already impleaded in this case as the respondent No. 2, 3, 4 and 5 and notice issued to them have been duly served to them and the same has been

reflected in the office note dated 06.03.2024.

5. In view of the aforesaid office note as well as submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant, it appears that the notices on all respondents are duly served.

6. Let this matter be listed for hearing in Order's Column on delay condonation on 12.02.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant