

GAHC010087572025



2025:GAU-AS:13205

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/407/2025**

ANIMA SUTRADHAR  
WIFE OF TINKU SUTRADHAR RESIDENT OF VILL JAKHLIPAR, PS  
GABARDHANA, DIST BAKSA, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR.  
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

2:TINKU SUTRADHAR  
SON OF DHIREN SUTRADHAR RESIDENT OF SONKUCHI KALANI  
PS AND DIST- BARPETA  
ASSA

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A R BHUYAN, MR S ABDULLAH

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM, MR. A KASHYAP (R-2),MR. R SEKHAR (R-2),MR. B  
D CHOWDHURY (R-2)

Linked Case :

ANIMA SUTRADHAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR (F)

-----  
Advocate for : MR. A R BHUYAN  
Advocate for : appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR (F)

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN**

**ORDER**

**24.09.2025**

Heard Mr. Mr. S. Abdullah, learned counsel appearing for the applicant.  
Also heard Mr. B.D. Chowdhury, learned counsel appearing for the respondent  
No. 2.

This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for  
condoning the delay of 84 days in preferring the connected Criminal Revision  
Petition against the order dated 31.05.2024 passed by the learned Sessions  
Judge, Baksa in Criminal Appeal Case No. 10/2023.

Mr. S. Abdullah submits that the grounds of delay are genuine grounds as  
he has explained the same in Paragraph 3 of the instant petition. In the said  
paragraph, it is stated that on receipt of the certified copy the said judgment  
and order dated 31.05.2024, the applicant initially could not approach the  
counsel due to illness of his mother and the same had caused the delay of 84  
days.

Mr. B.D. Chowdhury fairly submits that the reasons mentioned in the said petition have caused the said delay of 84 days and the same are found to be genuine and as such, he has no objection if the delay is condoned for the ends of justice.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the said petition, this Court finds it fit that the delay of 84 days in preferring the connected Criminal Revision Petition are caused on genuine grounds and the same may be condoned for the ends of justice.

As such, the I.A. is disposed of.

The connected Criminal Revision Petition may be registered by the Registry and be listed for admission.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**