

GAHC010077762026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2157/2026

LAXMAN RAM
PRIORITOR OF L.R. BHADU CONSTRUCTION /OWNER S/O MANGILAL,
WARD NO 05 TUWELL KE PAS, DERAJISAR, RAJASTHAN 331811

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM,
HOME DEPARTMENT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI 6

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-7

3:THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER
TINSUKIA
ASSAM
PIN- 787057

4:SUNDARAM FINANCE LTD.
AT SECOND FLOOR
OPPOSITE BSNL COLONY
NH-11
JAIPUR ROAD
BIKANER- 334001
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY MANAGER LEGAL-21
PATULLOS ROAD
CHENNAI- 600012

5:HIRARAM
S/O SRI DASU RAM JAKHAR

R/O VILLAGE- BIGA
TAHSIL- SRIDUNGARAD
DISTRICT- BIKANER

RAJASTHAN

6:OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
TINSUKIA POLICE STATION
TINSUKIA
ASSAM
PIN- 78612

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R M CHOUDHURY,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

24.04.2026

Heard Shri R.M. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Shri N. Goswami, learned State Counsel as well as Ms. M.D. Bora, learned Standing Counsel, Transport Department.

As per the facts projected, the petitioner had sold his vehicle to one L.R. Bhadu Construction. However, the same has been used by the respondent no.5 and the price of the vehicle has also not been paid to the petitioner. The petitioner has also contended that he is required to pay the instalment connected to the loan for the vehicle. In the opinion of this Court, the dispute raised is entirely in the nature of a private dispute with the respondent no. 5 and this Writ Court may not be the appropriate forum for adjudication of such dispute. This Court has also noticed that the documents all relate to Bikaner in the State of Rajasthan.

At this stage, the learned counsel has clarified that the vehicle is presently in use in the district of Tinsukia.

In view of the aforesaid discussions, the writ petition is dismissed.

The petitioner would however be at liberty to raise the dispute in the appropriate Civil Court at Tinsukia.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant