

GAHC010075892018



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2417/2018

HAIDAR HUSSAIN LASKAR
S/O. LT. TAHIR ALI LASKAR, R/O. VILL. PATHARI GRAM, P.O. RAJ NAGAR,
P.S. SILCHAR, DIST.- CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN-788026

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.
THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM, DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-781006

2:THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE
ASSAM
BELTOLA
GUWAHATI-781028

3:THE DIRECTOR
EMPLOYMENT AND CRAFTSMEN TRAINING ASSAM
REHABARI
GUWAHATI-781028.

4:THE PRINCIPAL (SR.) INDUSTRIAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (ITI)
SRIKUNA
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M H AHMED, MR A. HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, LABOUR AND UNEMPLOYMENT, SC, FINANCE, MR. B
GOGOI (SC, FINANCE DEPARTMENT), MR. S P DAS

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

02.06.2025

Heard Mr. MH Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. S P Das, learned counsel for the respondents.

During the course of the hearing, the petitioner submitted that in the earlier litigation, there was an interim order by virtue of which the petitioner was allowed to carry out of his adhoc service in the post of Junior Instructor (Electrician) at ITI Srikona.

The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the order dated 12.03.2007 passed in W.P.(C) No. 6010/1999. It is submitted that by the said order, the service of the petitioner was allowed to be continued and therefore in violation of these orders, the respondents have proceeded to terminate the petitioner which is presently under challenge in the present writ petition.

Upon perusal of the order dated 12.03.2007 passed in W.P.(C) No. 6010/1999, it is seen that the said writ petition [W.P.(C) No. 6010/1999] was disposed of in terms of the order dated 29.11.2005 passed in W.P.(C) No. 245/1999 but the order does not reflect the specific directions passed by the Court in W.P.(C) No. 245/1999 or W.P.(C) No. 6010/1999. Consequently, the petitioner as well as the respondent counsel are permitted to place copy of the order before the Court on the next date fixed.

List again after two weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant