

GAHC010075832026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2137/2026

SRI SANTANU KUMAR DAS
SON OF LATE NARENDRA NATH DAS, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PATHSALA,
POST OFFICE- PATHSALA, POLICE STATION- PATACHARKUCHI, BARPETA,
PIN-781326, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, BTC, KOKRAJHAR,
BODOFA NWGWR, KOKRAJHAR, ASSAM, PIN- 783370

2:THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
KOKRAJHAR
KOKRAJHAR STATION ROAD
KOKRAJHAR
PIN-783370.

3:THE MISSION DIRECTOR
SAMAGRA SIKSHA ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI
PIN-781019

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. S. Deka, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. B. C. Muchahary, SC, BTC
: Mr. S. Bora, SC, SSA

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 14.08.2026

The entitlement of the Petitioner as claimed in the instant writ petition is Rs.70,48,400/-. This aspect is duly admitted both by the BTC as well as the SSA as would be apparent from the communication issued by the Mission Director, SSA dated 02.03.2026 whereby the said amount was released to the Principal Secretary, BTC for further disbursement.

2. It further appears from an instruction dated 14.08.2026 placed by Mr. B. C. Muchahary, the learned Standing counsel of the BTC that the said amount of Rs.70,48,400/- was thereafter on account of certain technical issues in the PFMS system as regards addition of the beneficiary was returned back to the SSA. The BTC Authority thereupon again on 16.06.2026 sought the said amount from the SSA. However, on account of the said amount having not been paid by the SSA, the BTC Authority is not in a position to disburse the amount to the Petitioner. The instruction so placed by Mr. B. C. Muchahary, the learned Standing counsel is kept on record and marked with the letter "X".

3. Mr. S. Bora, the learned Standing counsel of the SSA shall

provide an update on the next date as to what steps have been taken in pursuance to the communication dated 16.06.2026.

4. List this matter again on 23.09.2026.

5. The pendency of the instant writ petition shall not be a bar on the part of the Respondent Authorities to settle their issues and thereupon disburse the amount to the Petitioner.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant