

GAHC010091722009



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : RSA/59/2009**

SRI TARLOK SINGH and ORS  
S/O LT. THAKUR SINGH

2: SRI GURMITSINGH

3: SRI SARBJIT SINGH  
SL. NO.2 AND 3 ARE SONS OF LT. AMLOK SINGH ALL ARE RESIDENT OF  
GARALI  
WARD NO.8  
P.O. and DIST. JORHAT  
ASSAM

VERSUS

SRI JATINDRA NATH BHARALI and ORS  
S/O LT. NARAM BHARALI R/O PRINCE OF WALES RESIDENTIAL CAMPUS,  
P.O. and DIST. JORHAT, ASSAM.

2:SRI PRAKASH RANJAN SARKAR  
S/O LT. BINODE BEHARI SARKAR.

3:SMTI JYOTI SARKAR

W/O LT. BONODE BEHARI SARKAR.

4:SRI MANJIT SARKAR

S/O LT. BIMAN BIHARI SARKAR.

5:STRUCK OFF  
VIDE COURT ORDER DATED 21.02.2022 PASSED IN RSA NO 59/200

**Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.K AGARWAL**

**Advocate for the Respondent : MR.D C MAHANTA**

Linked Case : MC/880/2009

SRI TARLOK SINGH and ORS.  
S/O LT. THAKUR SINGH

2: SRI GURMIT SINGH.

3: SRI SARBJIT SINGH  
SL. NO. 2 AND 3 ARE SONS OF LT. AMLOK SINGH ALL ARE RESIDENT OF  
GARALI  
WARD NO.8  
P.O. and DIST. JORHAT  
ASSAM.  
VERSUS

SRI JATINDRA NATH BHARALI and ORS.  
S/O LT. NARAM BHARALI R/O PRINCE OF WALES RESIDENTIAL CAMPUS  
P.O. and DIST. JORHAT  
ASSAM.

2:SRI PRAKASH RANJAN SARKAR  
S/O LT. BIODE BEHARI SARKAR.  
3:SMTI JYOTI SARKAR

W/O LT. BINODE BEHARI SARKAR.  
4:SRI MANJIT SARKAR

S/O LT. BIMAN BIHARI SARKAR.  
5:SRI BIJIT SARKAR

S/O LT. BIMAN BIHARI SARKAR ALL ARE RESIDENT OF WARD NO. 6  
GOLAGHAT TOWN  
DIST. GOLAGHAT.

-----

**Advocate for the appellant(s): Mr. S Biswas**

Advocate for the respondent(s): X X

**B E F O R E**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**  
**ORDER**

**22.05.2024**

Mr. S Biswas, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that the respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 are proforma respondents and as such, although they have expired, there is no necessity for substitution, for which, their names may be struck off.

2. Taking into account the above, the names of the proforma respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 are struck off from the array of respondents.

3. This Court has also taken note of that the service upon the respondent No.1 is complete and the LCR has also been received.

4. In view of the above, list the appeal for hearing in its usual course.

5. Appellants are directed to file a fresh Memo of Parties before the Registry on the basis of the instant order as well as also in view of the fact that the name of the respondent No.5 has also been struck off and the Registry shall make the necessary corrections in the records as well as also update the same in the CIS. The same be done within seven days.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**