

GAHC010072092024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2029/2024

MANJIT KUMAR DAS
S/O- LATE BHUBANESWAR DAS,
VILLAGE- BHEBLA,
P.O.- JALAHGHAT, P.S.- SIMLA,
DISTRICT- BAKSA, ASSAM,
PIN- 781327.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF ASSAM,
EDUCATION (HIGHER) DEPARTMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6

2:THE ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
KOKRAJHAR
P.O.- KOKRAJHAR

PIN- 78

3:THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19.

4:THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
KOKRAJHAR
P.O.- KOKRAJHAR

PIN- 78.

5:THE JOINT SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

6:THE PRINCIPAL
B.B. KISHAN COLLEGE
JALAH
P.O.- JALAGHAT

DISTRICT- BAKSA
BTR
ASSAM

PIN- 781327.

7:THE UNIVERSITY GRANT COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN

BAHADURSHAH ZAFAR MARG

NEW DELHI- 110002.

8:THE B.B. KISHAN COLLEGE
JALAH
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT

P.O.- JALAGHAT
DISTRICT- BAKSA

BTR
ASSAM
PIN- 781327

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P K ROYCHOUDHURY, MS. P UPAMANYU,MR REZABUL HOQUE,MR. N G KUNDU,MR H I CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, HIGHER EDU, SC, U G C,GA, ASSAM,SC, BTC

**BEFORE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (BENCH) - MR. SAPTARSHI DAS**

ORDER

Date : 09.03.2026

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

Perusal of the recent office note dated 06.03.2026 reveals that notice was issued to the respondent Nos. 6 & 8 by way of registered post with A/D on 04.04.2025 and by way of usual process on 03.04.2025 respectively.

Now, in respect of the said notices issued to the respondent Nos. 6 & 8, it has been observed as follows:—

(i) In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D, the concerned section has stated that neither the A/D cards nor any un-served notices have been received by the Registry till date. Besides the above, the concerned section has stated that the postal track consignment details regarding the aforementioned notice are no longer available at the Indian Post Website, since a substantial amount of time has been passed from issuance of the said notice.

*(ii) However, in respect of the notice issued through usual process, it appears that the process server's report **(at Flag-'X' in the Part-III file)** of respondent Nos. 6 & 8 have been received by the registry. In the said service report, the process server has stated that, he went to the office address of the said respondents and met respondent No. 6 there. The*

said respondent No. 6 received his own notice along with the notice of respondent No. 8 and put his signature and seal on the original copy of the notice

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the notice issued through usual process has been served upon the respondent Nos. 6 & 8. As such, it may be said that **service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 6 & 8 has been completed.**

Accordingly, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Deputy Registrar (Bench)

Comparing Assistant