

GAHC010071792021



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2751/2021

MOYNUL HOQUE

S/O. LT. NAHER UDDIN AHMED, R/O. VILL. KAWAIMARI, DHING ROAD,
P.O. HAIBORGAON, P.S. NAGAON SADAR, DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN-
782002.

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 8 ORS.

REP. BY SECRETARY MINISTRY OF HEALTH EDUCATION, GOVT. OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI.

2:THE SECRETARY

DEPTT. OF HEALTH GOVT. OF INDIA
NEW DELHI.

3:THE SECRETARY

DENTAL COUNCIL OF INDIA
AIWA E GHALIB MARG
TEMPLE LANE
KOTLA ROAD
NEW DELHI-110002.

4:THE REGISTRAR ASSAM DENTAL COUNCIL RDC CAMPUS

GUWAHATI-781032.

5:THE DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

ASSAM
SIXMILE

KHANAPARA
GUWAHATI-781006.

6:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES

NAGAON
ASSAM TRUNK ROAD
HAIBARGAON NAGAO
ASSAM
PIN-782001.

7:THE SPECIAL SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPTT.
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

8:THE CHAIRMAN
J.P. GROUP OF INSTITUTION
BAIRIA GOALA
OLD MOTIAHARI ROAD
MUZAFFARPUR
BIHAR
PIN- 843108.

9:THE PRINCIPAL

MAHARANA PRATAP DENTAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL KOTHI
MANDHALLI
KANPUR-209217

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. I A TALUKDAR,

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I., SC, HEALTH

BEFORE
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDL.) MR. CHINMOY BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 30.10.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perusal of the office note dated 30.10.2025 reveals that notice was issued to the

respondent No. 9 by registered post with A/D as well as by usual process on 18.10.2023 & 13.10.2023 respectively.

Now, in respect of the aforementioned notices issued to the respondent No. 9, it has been observed as follows:–

(i) In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D, it appears that neither the A/D card nor any un-served notice has been received by the concerned section till date.

(ii) Further, as for the notice issued through usual Court process to the respondent No. 9, it appears that the process server's report has been received, wherein, the process server has stated that the notice was duly served upon the respondent No. 9.

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the notice issued through usual Court process has been duly served upon the respondent No. 9. As such, it may be said that **service in respect of the said respondent (i.e., respondent No. 9) has been completed.**

As such, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Joint Registrar (Judicial)

Comparing Assistant