

GAHC010065952024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2971/2024

PALASH DAS AND 2 ORS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS, R/O HOWLY TOWN, WARD NO. 3,
MOUZA- GHILAZARI, P.S.-HOWLY, DIST- BARPETA, ASSAM

2: SHASHANKA DAS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS
R/O HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM

3: BULU DAS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS
R/O HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSA

VERSUS

NIRADA NATH
W/O RUPAM NATH, R/O HOWLY TOWN, WARD NO. 3, MOUZA-GHILAZARI,
P.S.-HOWLY, DIST- BARPETA, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. SHEELADITYA,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

Linked Case : RSA/204/2019

SRI BIRENDRA NATH DAS
S/O- LATE NARESWAR DAS
R/O- HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAMARI
P.S. HOWLY
DIST.- BARPETA
PIN-781316

VERSUS

NIRADA NATH
W/O- RUPAM NATH
R/O- HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAMARI
P.S. HOWLY
DIST.- BARPETA
PIN- 781316.

Advocate for : MR. SHEELADITYA
Advocate for : MR H GUPTA appearing for NIRADA NATH

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/2972/2024

PALASH DAS AND 2 ORS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS
R/O HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM

2: SHASHANKA DAS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS
R/O HOWLY TOWN

WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM

3: BULU DAS
S/O LATE BIRENDRA NATH DAS
R/O HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA- GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM
VERSUS

NIRADA NATH
W/O RUPAM NATH
R/O HOWLY TOWN
WARD NO. 3
MOUZA-GHILAZARI
P.S.-HOWLY
DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. SHEELADITYA
Advocate for : appearing for NIRADA NATH

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

Date : 16.03.2026

Heard Mr. Sheeladitya, learned counsel appearing for the applicant.
None appears for the respondent on call.

The instant interlocutory application is for condoning the delay that has arisen in filing the connected regular second appeal.

Mr. Sheeladitya, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the delay of 153 days have occurred while filing the regular second appeal;

however, the office of the High Court while calculating the delay has wrongfully calculated the same as 603 days.

In view of the aforesaid endorsement, let Registry verify and place a detailed report on the next date of listing.

Repost on 31.03.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant