

GAHC010063952026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/674/2026

EKRAMUL HOQUE AND 7 ORS.

S/O SALEM UDDIN

R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR, P.S. DHING, DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM, PIN-782123

2: HALIM UDDIN

S/OLATE ABDUL MONNAS

R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR

P.S. DHING

DIST. NAGAON

ASSAM

PIN-782123

3: ISAM UDDIN

S/O ABDUL NOBI

R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR

P.S. DHING

DIST. NAGAON

ASSAM

PIN-782123

4: AJIJUL HAQUE

S/O ISAM UDDIN

R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR

P.S. DHING

DIST. NAGAON

ASSAM

PIN-782123

5: RIJAWUL ISLAM @ IJAHARUL HOQUE

S/O ISAM UDDIN

R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR

P.S. DHING

DIST. NAGAON

ASSAM
PIN-782123

6: HAJRAT ALI @ GULZAR HUSSAIN
S/O HALIM UDDIN
R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR
P.S. DHING
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782123

7: SALEM UDDIN @ SALIM UDDIN
S/OLATE MANNAS
R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR
P.S. DHING
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782123

8: DILDER HUSSAIN
S/O HALIM UDDIN
R/O VILL- SALKATI PATHAR
P.S. DHING
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN-78212

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S MUKTAR, MR A N IQBAL

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

27-03-2026

Heard Mr. S. Muktar, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. P. Borthakur, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, for the State respondent.

2. This is an application under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of pre-arrest bail to the accused/petitioners, namely, **(i) Ekramul Hoque; (ii) Halim Uddin; (iii) Isam Uddin; (iv) Ajijul Haque; (v) Rijawul Islam @ Ijaharul Hoque; (vi) Hajrat Ali @ Gulzar Hussain; (vii) Salem Uddin @ Salim Uddin** and **(viii) Dilder Hussain** who are apprehending arrest in connection with **Dhing P.S. Case No. 63/2026** registered under Sections 191(2)/191(3)/117(2) /118(2)/296/109 of BNS, 2023.

3. Mr. Muktar, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there was a scuffle took place between two groups only for parking a vehicle in front of the shop of the informant. However, when the petitioners raised their voice in support of the shopkeeper, with some false and concocted allegation the FIR has been lodged.

4. However, in the FIR, only the name of the accused No.1 has been mentioned wherein it is stated that he gave a dao blow on the head of the son of the informant, who sustained grievous injuries. However, all these petitioners are ready and willing to extend their cooperation in the further investigation of the case, if they are provided with some interim protection.

5. Mr. Borthakur, learned APP submitted in this regard that though the allegation specifically against the accused No.1, but in the FIR it is seen that all

the accused petitioners tried to assault and kill the son of the informant. Accordingly, he submitted that the case diary may be called for.

6. Hearing the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, considering the statement made in the FIR and other aspect of the case, I find it a fit case to extend the privilege of interim pre-arrest bail to the petitioners till receipt of the case diary.

7. Accordingly, it is provided that in the event of arrest of the accused/petitioners, namely, **(i) Ekramul Hoque; (ii) Halim Uddin; (iii) Isam Uddin; (iv) Ajijul Haque; (v) Rijawul Islam @ Ijaharul Hoque; (vi) Hajrat Ali @ Gulzar Hussain; (vii) Salem Uddin @ Salim Uddin and (viii) Dilder Hussain** in connection with **Dhing P.S. Case No. 63/2026** registered under Sections 191(2)/191(3)/117(2) /118(2)/296/109 of BNS, 2023, they shall be enlarged on interim pre-arrest bail on their executing a bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each only with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) that the petitioners shall appear before the Investigating Officer of the case within a period of 1(one) week from today to enable recording of their statements;
- (ii) that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the investigation of the case and shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required in connection with the investigation of the aforesaid P.S. Case and
- (iii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person

acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

- 8.** List the matter, accordingly, on **05.05.2026** for production of the updated Case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant