

GAHC010062812025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/704/2025

MIRANDA TEP
D/O KEGWACHU TEP,
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF 228, SIGNAL ANGAMI VILLAGE, P.O. AND
DIST. DIMAPUR, NAGALAND, -797112 AND PRESENTLY RESIDING AT 5,
YOGI PARA MAIN ROAD,
MANICKTALA, KOLKATA, WEST BENGAL-700006.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE LEARNED PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M PHUKAN, MR A N SARMAH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

Linked Case : AB/686/2025

PRASENJIT HAZARIKA
S/O AMAL KRISHNA HAZARIKA

PERMANENT RESIDENT OFFLATNO. 4C
ACHYUT HEIGHTS
RADHA NAGAR
PO.O.KHANAPARA
P.S. DISPUR

GUWAHATI
DIST. KAMRUP (M)

ASSAM
PIN-781022

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE LD. PP
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. M PHUKAN
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM

Linked Case : AB/869/2025

PURABI PATHAK
W/O-JALANDER SAHANI
R/O-HOUSE NO-8
PATHAR QUARRY
OLD POST OFFICE LANE
P.O-UDHYAN VIHAR
P.S NOONMATI
781171

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PP
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. R DE
Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR**

ORDER

24.02.2026

Heard Mr. M. Phukan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in AB No. 704/2025 and AB 686/2025 and Ms. D. Pathak, learned counsel appears for the petitioner in AB No. 869/2025.

Mr. K. Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam is representing the State Respondent.

2. By these applications filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, in AB No. 704/2025 the petitioner Miranda Tap; in AB No. 686/2025 the petitioner Sri Prasenjit Hazarika and in AB No. 869/2025 the petitioner Purabi Pathak, have prayed for privilege of pre-arrest bail, who apprehend arrest in connection with Dispur P.S. Case No. 189/2025.

3. The petitioners had been granted interim protections by this Court to appear before the Investigating Officer to participate in the investigation process. It is stated that all the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and participated in the investigating process.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted the order dated 23.10.2025 passed in AB No. 704/2025 arising out of the same FIR, in which it has been noted by this Court that the funds which allegedly did not tally, had happened due to mechanical fault in the ATMs and not due to misappropriation.

5. Keeping all the above in view, this Court is of the opinion that the privilege of pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioners on earlier occasions can be made absolute.

6. Therefore, the interim order dated 27.03.2025 granted to the petitioner Miranda Tap; the interim order dated 25.03.2025 granted to the petitioner Sri Prasenjit Hazarika and the interim order dated 11.04.2025 granted to the petitioner Sri Purabi Pathak, are made absolute.

7. With the above, the anticipatory bail applications are disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant