

GAHC010060552026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/666/2026

SALIM UDDIN
S/O LATE ABDUL KHALIQUE CHOUDHURY, R/O MAIZDIHI, WARD NO 1,
SETTLEMENT ROAD, P.O. AND P.S. SRIBHUMI, TOWN SRIBHUMI, DIST.
SRIBHUMI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S C BISWAS, MS A DAS,MS. K L R YANTHAN,MR. MEHUL
SHAH,MS. U NANDA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

26-03-2026

Heard Mr. S. C. Biswas, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. B. Sarma, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, for the State respondent.

2. This is an application under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of pre-arrest bail to the accused/petitioner, namely, **Salim Uddin** who is

apprehending arrest in connection with **Sribhumi P.S. Case No. 30/2026** registered under Sections 304/308(2) of BNS, 2023.

3. It is submitted by Mr. Biswas, learned counsel that the petitioner was the financial guarantor of the car and allegation is brought against him that he snatched the car key and also extorted Rs.2,00,000/- from the informant. But surprisingly, the incident had happened on 16.12.2025, however, after 45 days of the incident i.e., on 01.02.2026 the FIR has been lodged.

4. It is further submitted that till date, the petitioner has not received any Notice under Section 35(3) of BNSS, 2023 from the concerned Police Station and further the petitioner is ready and willing to appear before the I.O. and cooperate in the investigation of the case.

5. Mr. Sarma, learned APP submitted that there is allegation of snatching and extorting of money from the informant and hence, he submitted that the case diary may be called for to know the actual fact of the case.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the statement made in the FIR, this Court is of the opinion that one chance may be given to the petitioner to extend his cooperation in the further investigation of the case and as such I find it a fit case to extend the privilege of interim pre-arrest bail to the petitioner till receipt of the case diary.

7. Accordingly, it is provided that in the event of arrest of the accused/petitioner, namely, **Salim Uddin** in connection with **Sribhumi P.S. Case No. 30/2026** registered under Sections 304/308(2) of BNS, 2023, he shall be enlarged on interim pre-arrest bail on his executing a bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) only with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority, subject to the following

conditions:

- (i) that the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer of the case within a period of 1(one) week from today to enable recording of his statement;
- (ii) that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the investigation of the case and shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required in connection with the investigation of the aforesaid P.S. Case and
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

8. List the matter, accordingly, on **28.04.2026** for production of the updated Case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant