

GAHC010059432026



2026:GAU-AS:4622

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1815/2026

M/S SATISH PRASAD AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI SATISH PRASAD HAVING
REGISTERED OFFICE AT FLAT NO 501, BLOCK A, VISHWANATH
APARTMENT, DEVI MANDAP ROAD, PISKA MORE, RATU ROAD, RANCHI-
834005.

2: SATISH PRASAD
PROPRIETOR OF M/S SATISH PRASAD
HAVING PLACE OF BUSINESS AT FLAT NO. 501
BLOCK-A
VISHWANATH APARTMENT
DEVI MANDAP ROAD
PISKA MORE
RATU ROAD
RANCHI-834005

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY SECY., MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS, RAILWAY BOARD,
RAILWAY BHAWAN, NEW DELHI.

2:THE GENERAL MANAGER
N.F. RAILWAY
HAVING OFFICE AT MALIGAON
GUWAHATI
ASSAM-781011

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. Rathi, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. K. Gogoi, CGC

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 30.03.2026

Heard Mr. D. Rathi, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. K. Gogoi, the learned CGC appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that taking into account that the claim so made in the instant writ petition as regards the refund of an amount of Rs. 1,34,50,602/- against the illegal collection of the terminal charges from the petitioners during the period from 2020-2025 would require adjudication of disputed questions of facts, the petitioners would be well advised to approach the Railway Claims Tribunal. Accordingly, the learned counsel submitted that the petitioners would therefore not like to pursue the present proceedings, without prejudice to their right to approach the Railway Claims Tribunal.

3. Taking into account the said submission, the instant writ petition stands closed on withdrawal.

4. The withdrawal of the instant writ petition, however, shall not prejudice the petitioners to approach the Railway Claims Tribunal, if so permissible under law.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant