

GAHC010056102024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WA/109/2024

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KAMRUP M GUWAHATI 36, ASSAM

VERSUS

ON THE DEATH OF GAUTAM BORO, HIS LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE SRI
TAPAN BORO, BROTHER OF LATE GAUTAM BORO
S/O LATE SONESWAR BORO,
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BOLAIKIL, P.O AND PS CHANGSARI, DIST KAMRUP
ASSAM 781101

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM
FINANCE (ESTABLISHMENT) DEPARTMENT
DISPUR GUWAHATI 06

3:OFFICER ON SPECIAL DUTY
FINANCE DEPARTMENT (ESTABLISHMENT B) DISPUR
GUWAHATI 06
ASSAM

4:THE TREASURY OFFICER
KAMRUP (M) TREASURY GUWAHATI 01
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : ADDL. SR. GA, ASSAM,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

Date : 06.02.2026

(Michael Zothankhuma, J)

The matter pertains to the imposition of Rs. 1,00,000/- (rupees one lakh) cost on the appellant to be paid to Mr. Gautam Boro, who has subsequently expired. The cost had been imposed because of the non-consideration of the application for compassionate appointment for a long period of time.

The only issue that remains to be decided is whether the award of the cost imposed should be modified or set aside.

As the last office note states that there is no return of the A/D card or unserved notice from Mr. Tapan Boro, the brother of the deceased respondent, list the matter on 02.03.2026.

Await service report.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant