

GAHC010053882026



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/840/2026**

CHIRANI BALA RABHA  
W/O LATE MANINDRA RABHA,  
RESIDENT OF VILL- BARJULI, P.O.- BARJULI, P.S.- AGIA, IN THE DISTRICT  
OF GOALPARA, ASSAM, PIN-783120.

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY  
OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI.

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL  
ASSAM RIFLES DGAR HEADQUARTER SHILLONG  
MEGHALAYA- 793010.

3:RECORD OFFICER  
PENSION/NE RECORD BRANCH  
DIRECTORATE GENERAL ASSAM RIFLES SHILLONG  
MEGHALAYA- 11.

4:GUNESWARI BALA RABHA  
W/O PULIN CHANDRA RABHA. RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- MAHAMARA  
P.S.- JUNAI  
DISTRICT- DHEMAJI  
ASSAM

**Advocate for the Petitioner : MR H DAS, MR D BARUAH**

**Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.,**

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

**ORDER**

**31.03.2026**

Heard Mr. H. Das, learned counsel for the applicant.

**2.** This interlocutory application has been filed by the applicant seeking impleadment of Smt. Guneswari Bala Rabha, who claims to be a wife of late Manindra Rabha. According to the applicant she is the legally wedded wife but there is a claim made by the opposite party No.4 to be the first wife of late Manindra Rabha and therefore, the department has not released the benefits of family pension either in favour of the present applicant or the opposite party No.4. Since the specific orders are prayed for granting family pension in the writ petition, the applicant submits that the impleadment of the opposite party No.4 is required and she is a necessary party in the writ petition.

**3.** The submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant are not disputed by Mr. S.S. Roy, learned CGC.

**4.** Upon consideration of the submissions made and upon perusal of the application filed by the applicant, it appears that late Manindra Rabha during his lifetime, beside the petitioner had also married the opposite party No.4, Guneswari Bala Rabha. Since, it is a question for release of family pension in respect of pension which was drawn by late Manindra Rabha, the question is to be decided between the applicant and the opposite party No.4 who is legally wedded wife, as to whom would be entitled to claim the family pension. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the considered view that the opposite party

No.4 is a necessary party. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is allowed and the opposite party No.4 namely Guneswari Bala Rabha, W/o: Pulin Chandra Rabha, R/o: Village- Mahamara, P.S.- Junai, District- Dhemaji, Assam is arrayed as respondent No.4 in WP(C) No.3229/2024.

**5.** This interlocutory application, accordingly stands disposed of.

**6.** The petitioner will file necessary amended cause title before the Registry within two weeks from today.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**