

GAHC010050002025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1400/2025

HENILA MARAK
W/O LATE ELIOTH FIELD SANGMA, R/O KATASIBARI, P.O.- CHOTIPARA,
P.S.- AGIA, DIST- GOALPARA, ASSAM, PIN - 783126

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS
THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF ASSAM, PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES DEPARTMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-781006

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
FINANCE (ESTT-A) DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
ELEMENTARY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

4:THE DIRECTOR OF PENSION
ASSAM
HOUSEFED COMPLEX
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006

5:THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A AND E)
ASSAM
MIADAMGAON

BELTOLA
GUWAHATI-781038

6:THE TREASURY OFFICER
GOALPARA
P.O.- GOALPARA
DIST- GOALPARA
ASSAM
PIN-783124

7:THE PRINCIPAL
BTC
DUDHNOI
GOALPARA
DIST- GOALPARA
ASSAM
PIN-783124

8:ABHA DAS
W/O LATE ELIOTH FIELD SANGMA @ ELIOTH FILD D. SNAGMA
R/O KATASIBARI
P.O.- CHOTIPARA
P.S.- AGIA
DIST- GOALPARA
ASSAM
PIN-78312

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR F HAQUE, MR A ISLAM

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, MR. H S BORAH (R-8),MR. P HAZARIKA(R-8),SC,
AG,SC, FINANCE,SC, ELEM. EDU

BEFORE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (BENCH) - MR. SAPTARSHI DAS

ORDER

Date : 27.05.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

Perusal of the office note dated 14.05.2025 reveals that notice was issued to the respondent Nos. 6, 7 & 8 by registered post with A/D as well as by way of usual Court

process on 19.03.2025 & 18.03.2025 respectively.

Now, in respect of the respondent No. 8, it appears that the learned counsels have already entered appearance on behalf of the said respondent by filing Vakalatnama. As such, it may be said that **service in respect of the respondent No. 8 has been completed.**

Further, in respect of the respondent Nos. 6 & 7, it has been observed as follows:—

(i) In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D, it appears that neither the A/D cards nor any un-served notices have been received by the concerned section as of yet. But, the postal track consignment reports available with the case record reveals that the respective notices issued to the said respondents have been delivered to the addressees on 24.03.2025.

(ii) In respect of the notice issued through usual Court process, it appears that the process server's reports have been received by the concerned section. In the said service reports, it has been stated that the notice has been duly received by the respondents themselves.

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the both of the notices (*i.e., the notice issued through registered post with A/D and the notice issued through usual Court process*) have been duly served upon the respondent Nos. 6 & 7. As such, it may be said that **service of notice in respect of the respondent Nos. 6 & 7 has been completed.**

Accordingly, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Deputy Registrar (Bench)

Comparing Assistant