

GAHC010049002026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/510/2026

KULSUM BIBI
W/O MAJIBUR RAHMAN
VILL- NO. 2 BARGHULI,
P.S. ULUANI,
DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY PP ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S K CHHETRY, H M I HOQUE

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

19.03.2026

1. Heard Mr. S. K. Chhetry, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K. Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor.
2. This petition under Section 482 BNSS is filed by the petitioner,

namely, **Kulsum Bibi**, apprehending arrest in connection with **Uluani P.S. Case No. 59/2025** registered u/s **178/179/180/181/3(5) of BNS**.

3. The earlier bail petition was rejected by this Court on 9/12/2025 passed in AB 2692/2025.

4. The FIR was the lodged by police personnel on 13.10.25, where, it is alleged that upon getting information the police team searched the house of Mojibur Rahman, co-accused and found two cartons of fake Indian currency notes of 500 denomination along with printing machines and white paper etc. It is alleged that during investigation it was revealed that the said Mojibur Rahman and his wife Khulsum Bibi (petitioner herein) along with one Md. Bakruddin of same village and some other associates have been indulging in this illegal activity of printing fake Indian currency notes.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner herein is the wife of main accused. The prosecution submits that in the meantime, investigation is completed and has resulted in a charge sheet vide CS No. 3/26, dated 29/1/26.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits an order dated 19.02.2026 passed by this court in AB 3054/2025 granting bail and it is submitted that such bail was granted in similar circumstances with regard to similar offences.

7. I have perused the said order.

8. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that considering the nature of the alleged offenses, the bail should not be granted to the

petitioner at this stage.

9. Nevertheless, considering the completion of investigation, I deem it fit to grant bail to the petitioner.

10. Accordingly, it is also provided that in the event of arrest of the petitioner, named above, in connection with above mentioned case, shall be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- with a suitable surety each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the arresting authority.

The direction for pre-arrest bail is subject to the conditions that the petitioner:

- (a) Shall be available for trial;
- (b) Shall not hamper or tamper with the evidence of the case.
- (c) Shall not commit any illegal activity.

11. Petitioner shall appear before the learned court below and submit fresh bail bond and necessary order may be passed by the learned court below.

12. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application stands **allowed** and **disposed of**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant