

GAHC010048352026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/144/2026

DULU MANI RAJKHOWA
S/O LATE CHANDRA RAJKHOWA,
RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 31, HENGRABARI, NEAR FOREST GATE, P.O.
HENGRABARI, P.S. DISPUR, DIST. KAMRUP (M), ASSAM, PIN-78102

VERSUS

SRI HEMANTA PEGU ACS AND ANR
THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, ASSAM PLAIN TRIBES DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTD. GANESHGURI, GUWAHATI-781005.

2:SRI DILIP KUMAR DEKA
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
ASSAM PLAIN TRIBES DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. GANESHGURI
GUWAHATI-781005

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. L N DIHINGIA, MR. PAVLOV HANDIQUE, MR. A K SAHU

Advocate for the Respondent : MR A SHARMA,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 18.03.2026

Head Mr. P.K. Handique, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. This contempt petition is preferred alleging non-compliance of the directions made in a

common Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025 passed in two writ petitions, W.P.[C] no. 7076/2025 and W.P.[C] no. 6542/2025, preferred by the petitioner. It is averred that the copy of the Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025 was duly brought to the knowledge of the respondents.

3. As by the Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025, certain directions were made, this Court, on 13.03.2026, requested Mr. A. Sharma, learned Standing Counsel, Assam Plain Tribes Development Corporation Ltd. [APTDCL] to obtain instructions as to whether pursuant to the Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025, the respondent APTDCL authorities had complied with the directions or not.

4. Today, Mr. Sharma, learned Standing Counsel, APTDCL has placed an Order bearing no. PTDC/Engg/2024-25/376 dated 24.12.2025, an Order dated 02.01.2026, and the Minutes of proceedings of a Meeting held on 06.02.2026.

5. By Order dated 24.12.2025, the APTDCL authorities took note of the directions made in the Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025 and cancelled the Work Order issued earlier to the successful bidder. By the subsequent Order dated 02.01.2026, the APTDCL had constituted a Technical Evaluation Committee consisting of eight members to evaluate the bids of all the four participant bidders for the contract-work under reference. The Technical Evaluation Committee so constituted by the Order dated 02.01.2026, evaluated the bids of all the four participant bidders including the contempt petitioner herein, in a Meeting held on 06.02.2026. Upon evaluation of the bids, the Technical Evaluation Committee found the bids of all the four participant bidders non-responsive and a decision was taken to recommend for invitation of fresh tenders from intending tenderers. The said decisions of the Technical Evaluation Committee are reflected in the Minutes of its Meeting held on 06.02.2026.

6. In view of afore-stated developments, this Court is of the view that there is no necessity to proceed further with the contempt petition. If the petitioner finds himself aggrieved by any of the afore-stated decisions taken by the APTDCL authorities subsequent to the Judgment and Order dated 19.12.2025, the petitioner is at liberty, to seek appropriate remedy, as permissible under the law. With the above observation, the contempt petition is

closed.

7. Mr. Sharma has submitted that he will furnish the copies of the afore-stated Orders/Minutes to Mr. Handique.

8. The afore-stated documents placed by Mr. Sharma are kept with the case record marking it as the Document – 'X' collectively.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant