

GAHC010047212022



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

Case No. : WP(C)/1728/2022

SMTI. FIRUZA KHANAM
W/O ABDUL HANNAN KHAN, R/O VILL- AGDIA PATHAR, P.O.-GAHIA, DIST-
BARPETA, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS.
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM, EDUCATION (SECONDARY) DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
BARPETA
P.O. AND DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN

Advocate for the Petitioner : DR. B AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ACHINTYA MALLA BUJOR BARUA**

O R D E R

21.03.2022

In our earlier order of 11.03.2022, we had taken note that as per the pages 21 and 22 document, the petitioner was found eligible for provincialisation under the Assam Venture Educational Institution (Provincialisation of Services) Act, 2011 after verification of records. The contents of pages 21 and 22 documents if depict the correct factual situation, we have to understand that under the provision of Section 4 of the Act of 2011, the services of the petitioner had already been deemed provincialised. But if the factual depiction of pages 21 and 22 are incorrect, the result may be otherwise.

In the circumstance, the respondents in the Secondary Education Department to provide the information to the Court as to whether the factual depiction contained in the pages 21 and 22 document are correct.

List the matter after two weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant