

GAHC010047212022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1728/2022

SMTI. FIRUZA KHANAM
W/O ABDUL HANNAN KHAN, R/O VILL- AGDIA PATHAR, P.O.-GAHIA, DIST-
BARPETA, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS.
TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM, EDUCATION (SECONDARY) DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
BARPETA
P.O. AND DIST- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN

Advocate for the Petitioner : DR. B AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ACHINTYA MALLA BUJOR BARUA**

ORDER

Date : 11.03.2022

A perusal of the pages-21/22 document show that the petitioner after verification of records was found eligible to be provincialised under the Act of 2011.

Section 4 of the Assam Venture Educational Institutions (Provincialisation of Services) Act, 2011 (in short, the Act of 2011) provides for a deemed provincialisation, if otherwise the teacher concerned is found eligible under the Act.

Mr. S M T Chistie, learned counsel for the Secondary Education Department, Government of Assam seeks for an adjournment to provide the information as to why the benefits of provincialisation be not given to the petitioner although he may be deemed to have been provincialised under the Act of 2011.

List in the week commencing from 21.03.2022.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant