

GAHC010043912026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/839/2026

SAYAR ALI AND ORS
S/O- LT. YUSUF ALI

2: SARAFAT ALI
S/O-LT. YUSUF ALI

3: MAINUL HOQUE
S/O-LT. BASIR UDDIN

4: SAIDUR RAHMAN
S/O-LT. BASIR UDDIN
ALL ARE R/O. VILLAGE - PUB KANDULMARI
P/O - JUGIJAN
P/S - HOJAI
DIST HIJAI
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY, TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI, ASSAM, PIN-781006

2:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER

HOJAI SANKARDEV NAGAR
HOJAI
DISTRICT- HOJAI
ASSAM
PIN -782442

3:THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
REVENUE HOJAI

SANKARDEV NAGAR DISTRICT- HOJAI ASSAM PIN 782442.

4:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
VILLAGE AND P/O HOJAI
DISTRICT- HOJAI ASSAM
PIN 782435

5:MOHPARA JAMA MOSJID
REP. BY HAZI ISHAK ALI

S/O LATE MOBORAK ALI

PRESIDENT
MOHPARA JAMA MOSJID
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE MAHPARA
PO JUGIJAN
PS AND DIST HOJAI
ASSAM
782435

6:ABDUL JALIL
S/O LATE SONAFOR ALI

SECRETARY
MOHPARA JAMA MOSJID
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE MAHPARA
PO JUGIJAN
PS AND DIST HOJAI
ASSAM
78243

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K A MAZUMDER, MISS R A CHOUDHURY, S A ISLAM

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, REVENUE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

Date : 18.03.2026

Heard Mr. K A Mazumdar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. A Bhattacharjee, learned standing counsel, Revenue & Disaster Management, Assam,

appearing for respondent no. 1 and Ms. M Barman, learned Government Advocate appearing for respondent no. 2, 3 & 4.

2. The present Interlocutory Application has been instituted praying for impleading the opposite party no. 5 & 6 as party respondents in the connected writ petition being WP(C) No. 150/2026.

3. This Court has perused the contentions raised in the present Interlocutory Application and finds that in the connected writ petition, reliefs have been sought for against the opposite party nos. 5 & 6 and accordingly, they are appropriate and necessary party in the proceedings of the said writ petition.

4. The prayer for impleading the opposite party no. 5 & 6, as party respondents in the connected writ petition, has also not been opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

5. Accordingly, the applicant is permitted to implead the opposite party no. 5 & 6 as party respondent nos. 5 & 6, in the connected writ petition being WP(C) No. 150/2026.

6. With the above observations and directions, the present Interlocutory Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant