

GAHC010041272026



2026:GAU-AS:3027

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/657/2026

SAHERA BIBI
W/O LATE JOYNAL ABEDIN ALIAS JAYNAL ABEDIN, PERMANENT R/O
VILL. FAKIRANIRJHAR, PAT I, P.O. BARKANDA, P.S. BILASIPARA, DIST.
DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN 783348 PRESENTLY RESIDING AT VILL.
CHALBANDA, P.O. BARKANDA, P.S. BILASIPARA, DIST. DHUBRI, ASSAM,
PIN 783348

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM, PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCE DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI 6

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
HEALTH DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
ASSAM
HENGRABARI
GUWAHATI-36

4:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
DHUBRI
DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

5:THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
ASSAM
MAIDAMGAON
BELTOLA

GUWAHATI-29

6:THE TREASURY OFFICER
BILASIPARA TREASURY
DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783348

7:THE DIRECTOR OF PENSION
ASSAM
HOUSEFED COMPLEX
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 06

8:SMTI SHIRIJAN BEGUM
W/O- LATE JOYNAL ABEDIN
R/O- VILLAGE FAKIRANIRJHAR PART-I
P.O. BARKANDA
P.S. BILASIPARA
DIST.- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 78334

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD. S ALOM, MR. M S ALAM

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. M H TALUKDAR, SC, HEALTH,SC, FINANCE,SC, AG (A AND E)

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR
ORDER

26.02.2026

Heard Md S Alom, learned counsel appearing for the applicant. Also heard Ms R M Baruah, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr A Chaliha, learned Standing Counsel for the Finance Department.

2. The prayer made in this interlocutory application is that by inadvertence, the respondent No. 6 has been described as “the Treasury Officer, Bilasipara”, while the description should have been “the Treasury Officer, Dhubri”. Due to this inadvertent mistake, during filing of the writ petition, the order dated 10.02.2026, at Paragraphs-4

and 10, directions have been issued to the Treasury Officer, Bilasipara, instead of the Treasury Officer, Dhubri.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the directions being given to the Treasury Officer, Dhubri, in the aforesaid paragraphs would not materially alter the intent of the order passed by this Court.

4. We have heard both the learned counsel for the parties and going through the order dated 10.02.2026, this Court is of the opinion that allowing the directions to be made to the Treasury Officer, Dhubri, is not going to materially affect the intent of the order.

5. Accordingly, it is provided that the directions given in Paragraph-10 of the order dated 10.02.2026, to the Treasury Officer, Bilasipara, shall now be read as the directions to the Treasury Officer, Dhubri. Accordingly, Paragraph-10 of the order dated 10.02.2026, shall stand modified as follows:-

“On receipt of the request for revised nomination, the respondent No. 5 shall immediately process the same and after making necessary correction forward it to the respondent No. 6, i.e., the Treasury Officer, Dhubri, who shall thereafter take necessary steps on or before 21.04.2026, to ensure that the petitioner starts receiving the pensionary benefits before the end of April, 2026, invariably.”

And the Paragraph-8 of the order dated 10.02.2026, shall stand modified as follows:-

“On receipt of the aforesaid documents from the respondent No. 8, the Treasury Officer, Dhubri shall forward both halves of the PPO to the office of the respondent No. 3, who shall cause immediate steps to be taken for correction in the revised nomination on or before 23.03.2026.

6. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that the concerned Treasury Officer is the Treasury Officer, Dhubri.

7. The Interlocutory Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant