

GAHC010036952025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/1248/2025

ZENITH CAREER ACADEMY, NGO AND ANR.
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY SHRIMATI BARNALI DAS, HAVING ITS
OFFICE AT HOUSE NO 35, NATUN SARANIA - GANDHI BASTI, SILPUKHURI,
GUWAHATI, KAMRUP(M), ASSAM

2: BARNALI DAS
W/O- SRI HARA KUMAR KALITA
R/O- HOUSE NO.- 35
NATUN SARANIA
GANDHI BASTI
P.O.- SILPUKHURI
P.S.- CHANDMARI
DISTRICT- KAMRUP(M)
PIN- 781003 (ASSAM)

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND
EMPLOYMENT, DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF EMPLOYMENT AND
TRAINING, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA NEW DELHI.

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT
GUWAHATI
DISPUR
ASSAM
PIN- 781006.

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT
GUWAHATI

DISPUR
ASSAM
PIN- 781006.

4:THE DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
SKILLED
EMPLOYMENT AND ENTERPRENEURSHIP DEPTT.
GUWAHATI
DISPUR
ASSAM
PIN- 781006.

5:THE DIRECTOR
EMPLOYMENT AND CRAFTSMAN TRAINING
REHABARI
GUWAHATI
DISTRICT- KAMRUP(M)
ASSAM
PIN- 781008.

6:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF CRAFTSMAN TRAINING
DIRECTORATE OF EMPLOYMENT AND CRAFTSMAN TRAINING
ASSAM
REHABARI
GUWAHATI-08.

7:THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
GUWAHATI
DISPUR- 781006

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A AHMED, MR S AFRIDI,MR A AHMED,U U KHAN,MR. M A CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., SC, FINANCE DEPTT.,GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA

ORDER

Date : 02-05-2025

Mr. MA Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are registered Vocational Training Providers in the e-procurement

system for implementation of the Skill Development Initiative Scheme based on Modular Employable Scheme, under the Government of India. Though the petitioners have been given a certain amount of their bills, the entire amount has not been paid till date. He submits that in the earlier round of litigation, i.e., WP(C) 5611/2020, which had been disposed of vide order dated 02.09.2021, the respondents were to cause a verification to be made and to pay the admitted amount to the petitioners. 3½ years later, the petitioners have approached this Court again on the same issue, vide this writ petition, on the ground that the directions passed in the earlier writ petition has not been complied with till date.

The petitioners' counsel submits that a contempt petition had not been filed by the petitioners due to Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, as the petitioners were unable to approach this Court on time for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents. As such, they have approached this Court again by way of the present petition.

On considering the circumstances under which the petitioners have approached this Court again, it appears that the respondents are least bothered by the orders passed by this Court for whatever reasons known to them.

Keeping the above in view, it is hoped that the respondents will consider the present case seriously. Accordingly, Mr. G Bokalia, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 to 6 shall obtain instructions with regard to this case and obtain instructions as to why the directions passed by this Court earlier has not been complied with till date. He shall also obtain instructions as to the date by which the directions passed by this Court shall be complied with.

List the matter on ***02.06.2025.***

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant