

GAHC010035372026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Pet./258/2026

KRISHNA KANTA DOLEY
SON OF SRI B ANAMALI DOLEY
RESIDENT OF VILL- BORMUAGAON, P.B. ROAD, REHABARI,P.O.AND
P.S. JENGRAMUKH DIST. MAJULI, ASSAM, PIN- 785105

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

2:SMTI. RITA PEGU
W/O SRI BIJAY PEGU
VILL- GALIGHAT 2 NO. JIADOL P.O. GALIGHAT BORBALI
P.S. JONAI

DIST. DHEMAJ

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A AHMED, MR A AHMED,MISS. P M AHMED,MR. M A
CHOUDHURY,U U KHAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 29.07.2026

Heard Mr. A. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms.
S.H. Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of the charge sheet, i.e. charge sheet No. 110/2025 submitted on 23-08-2025, as well as the criminal proceedings instituted thereafter.

3. The case of the petitioner is that one FIR was lodged by the mother of the victim stating *inter alia* that in the evening of 01-07-2025, her daughter committed suicide in her rented room and that her daughter had written a note prior to committing suicide wherein the name of the petitioner finds place. The informant therefore stated that it was the petitioner who had abetted her daughter to commit suicide.

4. In pursuance to the lodging of this FIR, the police investigated the case and submitted charge sheet as mentioned above.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the charge sheet was submitted against the petitioner without any basis. He further submits that it was nowhere written in the charge sheet as to the contents of the said note left by the deceased. He further submits that while submitting the charge sheet, the police have not relied on the said note left by the deceased.

6. Ms. S.H. Bora, learned Additional Public Prosecutor however submits that the victim while committing suicide had at least left the name of the petitioner in a note which shows that there is abetment on the part of the petitioner.

7. Issue notice.

8. The petitioner shall take step(s) for service of notice upon

respondent No. 2 by speed post with AD as well as usual process.

9. Call for the scanned TCR along with case diary which should contain the note left by the deceased before committing suicide.

10. Since it is seen that there are no specific statements showing that the petitioner has abetted the suicide of the victim, this Court in the interim deems it fit to stay the proceedings of Sessions Case (T-2) No. 56/2025 arising out of Dhemaji P.S. Case No. 103/2025 till the next date of listing.

11. List on 12.08.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant