

GAHC010035152026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/543/2026

SMTI RUBI GOSWAMI
W/O LATE MUNINDRA SARMA
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE AND PO MAZIRGAON, DIST KAMRUP M ASSAM

VERSUS

DR RAVI KOTA IAS
CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,DISPUR GUWAHATI 06

2:SRI M.S MANIVANNAN IAS
COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT
DISPUR GUWAHATI 06

3:SMTI. MALABIKA CHOUDHURY. IAS
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPT. DISPUR GUWAHATI 781006

4:SRI B.CHAKRABORTY
L.R AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
DISPUR GUWAHATI 06

5:SRI SHAH NAWAZ CHOWDHURY IAS
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
DISPUR GUWAHATI 06

6:SRI RUP KUMAR RABHA
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
PERSONNEL DEPT. DISPUR GUWAHATI 0

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR P SARMAH,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

ORDER

23.02.2026

Heard Mr. P. Sarmah, learned counsel for the applicant.

2. This interlocutory application is preferred by the applicant for correction of inadvertent typographical mistake in the order dated 04.02.2026, passed by this Court, in I.A. (Civil) No. 141/2026, filed by the applicant in the Contempt Case (Civil) 576/2024.

3. Mr. Sarmah, learned counsel for the applicant submits that in paragraph No. 7 of the order dated 04.02.2026, in I.A. (Civil) No. 141/2026, a direction was issued for impleading some respondents/contemnors as respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 6 in Contempt Case (Civil) 576/2024. But, instead of this, the said respondents/contemnors ought to have been recorded as respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 7 and as such, Mr. Sarmah has contended to allow this application.

4. Having heard the submission of Mr. Sarmah, learned counsel for the applicant, I have carefully gone through the application as well as the documents placed on record and also perused the order dated 04.02.2026.

5. It appears that in paragraph No. 7 of the order dated 04.02.2026, in I.A. (Civil) No. 141/2026, a direction was issued for impleading some respondents/contemnors as respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 6 in Contempt Case (Civil) 576/2024. But, instead of this, the same ought to have been recorded as respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 7 in the said contempt proceeding.

6. Accordingly, it is provided that, henceforth, in paragraph No. 7 the respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 6 shall be read as respondent/contemnor Nos. 2 – 7.

7. In terms of above, this IA stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant