

sGAHC010034512025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/979/2025**

PRADIP KUMAR DAS  
S/O. LT. ABHAY CHARAN DAS, R/O- HOUSE NO. 68, NRIPEN BORA, PATH,  
FATASHIL AMBARI, GUWAHATI- 781025, UNDER FATASHIL AMBARI PS  
DIST- KAMRUP METRO, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM  
REP BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF  
ASSAM, PWD BLOCK B, GROUND FLOOR, JANATA BHAWAN, DISPUR,  
KAMRUP(M), GHY- 781006, ASSAM

2:THE DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
PUBLIC WORKS (ROAD) DEPARTMENT (WORKS)  
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-781006  
ASSAM.

3:THE DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
PUBLIC WORKS (ROAD) DEPARTMENT (WORKS)  
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-781006  
ASSAM.

4:THE CHIEF ENGINEER PWD (ROADS)  
ASSAM  
CHANDMARI  
GUWAHATI-781003  
DIST. KAMRUP (M)  
ASSAM.

5:THE SUPERINTENDING

PWD DIBRUGARH ROAD CIRCLE  
DIBRUGARH  
ASSAM  
PIN-786001.

6:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PWD DIBRUGARH  
LAHOWAL  
MORAN AND TINGKHONG TERRITORIAL ROAD DIVISION  
DIBRUGARH  
ASSAM  
PIN-786001.

7:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM  
FINANCE (BUDGET) DEPARTMENT DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-781006

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. I. Bhattacharyya, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. D. Nath, Sr. GA, Assam

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

**ORDER**

**Date : 06.05.2026**

Mr. I. Bhattacharyya, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and Mr. D. Nath, the learned Senior Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the PWD.

2. Mr. D. Nath, the learned Senior Government Advocate submitted that for the purpose of making the demand submission proposal, there is a requirement that the Petitioner should submit the original copies of 8 (eight) Bills, Completion Certificates, Original Agreement, PWO, Formal Work Order,

Photograph, Inspection Report of the concerned Superintending Engineer.

3. On various occasions, the Petitioner has been requested to submit those documents, however the Petitioner failed to do so.

4. It is further mentioned that although the Petitioner had claimed that he had completed the 8 numbers of works but the Completion Certificate he had submitted appears to be fake and fabricated inasmuch as the same is not in the format of the genuine Completion Certificate issued by the concerned Authorities in the PWD department.

5. Taking into account the above stand, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be granted some time to file an affidavit-in-reply.

6. List the matter upon filing of such affidavit-in-reply.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**