

GAHC010032802017



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RFA 93/2017

1:SRI BHUPEN BORGOHAIN
S/O SRI KHETRADHAN BORGOHAIN, ASST. ENGINEER, CIVIL
ENGINEERING DEPTT OIL INDIA LTD. , MORAN , P.O. MORAN, DIST.
SIBSAGAR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

1:THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN and 10 ORS
DAILY NEWSPAPER, BAMUNIMAIDAM, GUWAHATI-21, PUBLISHED FROM
MONIRAM DEWAN PATH, CHANDMARI, GUWAHATI-3, THROUGH ITS
PROPRIETOR SRI JAYANTA BARUAH

2:JAYANTA BARUAH
PROPRIETOR
THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI 781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI-781003

3:THE SADIN PRINTERS
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI 781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI-78103

4:JATIN CHOWDHURY
PUBLISHER THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI 781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI 781003

5:MD HYDER HUSSAIN
EDITOR

THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
UWAHATI-781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI 78103

6:TILOK HAZARIKA
EDITORIAL ADVISOR
THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI-781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI 781003

7:ADIP KUMAR PHUKAN
EXECUTIVE EDITOR
THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI 781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI 781003

8:THE SADIN PRINTERS
BOIRAGIMOTH
DIBRUGARH
REPRESENTED BY SRI JAYANTA BARUAH
THE PROPRIETOR
THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GUWAHATI 781021 AND MONIRAM DEWAN PATH
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI 781003

9:LOCAL CORRESPONDENT
DIROI RANGOLI
THE AXOMIYA PRATIDIN
C/O SADIN PRINTERS
BOIRAGIMOTH
DIBRUGARH
P.O. and P.S. DIBRUGARH
ASSAM.

10:OIL INDIA LTD.
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF MANAGER
OIL INDIA LTD. MORAN
DIST. SIVASAGAR

11:MUKUL BORGOHAIN

S/O SRI KESHAB BORGOHAIN
REGISTERED CONTRACTOR
OIL INDIA LTD. MORAN
R/O JYOTIPUR
MORANHAT
DIST. SIBSAGAR

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.B SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.A D CHOUDHURY- 1-4

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA**

ORDER

Date : 13-07-2018

Learned counsel for the appellant as well as respondent Nos. 1,2,3,4 and 8 are present.

The learned counsel prayed for striking out the name of respondent No. 6 as the postal steps issued against the said respondent has been returned with postal remark 'expired'. The said prayer is allowed at the risk of the appellant.

Office may correct the cause title by striking out the name of respondent No. 6.

The learned counsel for the appellant prays for sometime to take fresh steps for service of notice on respondent Nos. 5 and 7.

Prayed is allowed.

Fresh steps may be taken within 3 days. Notice is made returnable on 05.09.2018. As extra copies of memo of appeal available on record, the appellant is permitted to re-use for issuance of notice against respondent Nos. 5 and 7.

Liberty is granted to the learned counsel for the appellant to collect the postal receipt number from the Registry of this Court and track service from the postal website. The said track report may be furnished to the Registry of this court before the next date fixed.

List the matter on 05.09.2018.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant