

GAHC010029942025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : Crl.Pet./157/2025**

ALTAF HUSSAIN LASKAR  
S/O LATE MOHIBUR RAJA LASKAR,  
RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 343, TULARGRAM PART 2, WARD NO. 4, SONAI  
TOWN, PS SONAI, PS SONAI MUKH, DIST CACHAR, ASSAM 788119

2: HASNA ARA LASKAR  
W/O ALTAF HUSSAIN LASKAR

RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 343  
TULARGRAM PART 2  
WARD NO. 4  
SONAI TOWN  
PS SONAI  
PS SONAI MUKH  
DIST CACHAR  
ASSAM 78811

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM  
RPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSCUTOR, ASSAM

2:JESREENA CHOWDHURY  
W/O AASIF ALTAF LASKAR

D/O IMRAN HUSSAIN CHOWDHURY  
RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 25  
NANDAN NAGAR  
SARU MOTORIA  
BACK SIDE OF DOWN TOWN HOSPITAL  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI  
KAMRUP METRO  
ASSAM 78100

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. P K ROYCHOUDHURY, MR. R MAJUMDAR, MR. JUNM LASKAR, MS. J GHOSH

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM,

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 14.02.2025**

1. Heard Mr. P.K. Roy Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mrs. M. Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor.
2. This application under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 has been filed for quashing of the criminal proceeding of D.V. Case No.2/2024, which was filed by the respondent No.2 against the present petitioners and their son.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner No.1 is the father and petitioner No.2 is the mother of the accused No.1 mentioned in the D.V. Case No.02/2024. The accused No.1, happens to be the son of the present petitioner, who after marriage with the respondent No.2, was residing in Bangalore and thereafter in New Zealand and they had some matrimonial discord.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the present petitioners, who are aged about 65 and 62 respectively, do not reside with their son and the respondent No.2, and they have been implicated as respondents in the D.V. Case No.02/2024 only for the purpose of harassment.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that even in the complaint case, the entire allegations are levelled against the son of the present petitioners and paragraph-22 is only a vague allegation regarding subjecting the respondent No.2 to cruelty by the present petitioners has been made without mentioning in details thereon.
6. Issue notice to the respondent. Since the respondent No.1 is represented by Ms. M. Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no formal notice need to be issued. As regards the respondent No.2 is concerned, the petitioners shall take steps for issuance of notice upon the said respondent by registered post with A/D as well as by usual mode within 3 (three) days from the date of this order, returnable after 4 (four) weeks.

7. Let the scan copy of D.V. Case No.02/2024 be called for from the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kamrup(M) Guwahati.
8. Registry to take steps for requisitioning the aforesaid scan copies.
9. In the meanwhile, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as discussed hereinabove, further proceeding of D.V. Case No.02/2024, as regards the present petitioners are concerned, shall remain stayed till the next date of listing.
10. List this matter after 4 (four) weeks.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**