

GAHC010029052026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/973/2026

KAMALESWAR BORO
S/O LATE ULARAM BORO, VILL. HATHINAPUR, P.O. BANGAON, P.S.
SARTHEBARI, DIST. BAJALI, ASSAM, PIN 781375

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS, KARTAVYA BHAWAN,
NEW DELHI 110001

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

3:THE ZONAL CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER MARKEETING
GAS AUTHORITY OF INDIA LIMITED
5TH FLOOR
MEGHA PLAZA
BASISTHA CHARIALI
GUWAHATI 781029

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
BAJALI
PATHSALA
P.O AND DIST- BAJALI
ASSAM
PIN 781325

5:THE CIRCLE OFFICER

BAJALI REVENUE CIRCLE
DIST- BAJALI
ASSAM
PIN 78132

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S U AHMED, A R MONDAL,MR. H BORO

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., GA, ASSAM,SC, REVENUE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

23.02.2026

Heard Shri S.U. Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner.

The claim in this writ petition is with regard to compensation for use of the land by the respondent authorities. It is contended that the father of the petitioner late Ularam Boro was granted a plot of land which has been affected by installation of gas pipeline in the year 2021. It is also contended that no notice of any nature was issued and in the process, the land measuring 3 Bigha and 5 Katha have been affected.

Let notice be issued, returnable on 30.03.2026.

Shri AK Dutta, learned CGC accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 1;Ms. P.R. Mahanta, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 2; Shri S. Mitra, learned Standing Counsel, GAIL accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 3 and Shri H. Sarma, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, Assam accepts notice on behalf of the respondent nos. 4 &5. Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon the learned counsel for the respondents by tomorrow.

Shri Mitra, learned Standing Counsel, GAIL has raised a preliminary

objection and submitted that no documents have been annexed in the writ petition in respect of present claim. Those aspects would be considered at the appropriate stage.

List this case on 30.03.2026 by reflecting the name of learned Standing Counsel, GAIL in the cause list.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant